

Name: AROWOLO OLUWAFEMI ISRAEL

Matric No: 16/ENG 06/015

Dept.: Mechanical Engineering

4. a.) From the results obtained it can be said that the "Newton Raphson" method was more effective in the solution as it took a fewer number of iterations to converge at the right answer unlike the "Fixed Point Iteration method."

4. b.)

	A	B	C	D
1				
2	x	y'	y	
3	0	-1	1	
4	0.1	-0.7	0.9	
5	0.2	-0.43	0.83	
6	0.3	-0.187	0.787	
7	0.4	0.0317	0.7683	
8	0.5	0.22853	0.77147	
9	0.6	0.405677	0.794323	
10	0.7	0.5651093	0.8348907	
11	0.8	0.70859837	0.89140163	
12	0.9	0.837738533	0.962261467	
13	1	0.95396468	1.04603532	
14	1.1	1.058568212	1.141431788	
15	1.2	1.152711391	1.247288609	
16	1.3	1.237440252	1.362559748	
17	1.4	1.313696226	1.486303774	
18	1.5	1.382326604	1.617673396	

4. c.) i.)

```
Editor - C:\Users\ADMIN\Desktop\PRESENT SHII TO BE WORKED ON\16ENG06015ass.8\Assignment... x Workspace Command Window
Assignment8a.m x +
1 - commandwindow
2 - clear
3 - clc
4 - close all
5 - format short g
6 - syms rho
7 - rho=13
8 - for i=1:inf
9 -     iter(i+1)=i;
10 -     rho(i+1)=(16.7015*(1-exp(-(10/68.1)*(rho(i)))));
11 -     Ea(i+1)=abs((rho(i+1)-rho(i))/rho(i+1))*100;
12 -     if Ea(i+1)<=1E-11
13 -         break
14 -     end
15 - end
16 - table=[iter' rho' Ea']
```

Warning: Too many FOR loop iterations. Stopping after 9223372036854775806 iterations.
> In Assignment8a (line 8)

table =

0	13	0
1	14.226	8.6165
2	14.634	2.7868
3	14.754	0.81472
4	14.788	0.23044
5	14.797	0.064552
6	14.8	0.018033
7	14.801	0.0050338
8	14.801	0.0014048
9	14.801	0.00039204
10	14.801	0.0001094
11	14.801	3.053e-05
12	14.801	8.5198e-06
13	14.801	2.3775e-06
14	14.801	6.6347e-07
15	14.801	1.8515e-07
16	14.801	5.1667e-08
17	14.801	1.4418e-08
18	14.801	4.0235e-09
19	14.801	1.1228e-09
20	14.801	3.1334e-10
21	14.801	8.7443e-11
22	14.801	2.4387e-11
23	14.801	6.8169e-12

fx >>

4. c.) ii.)

The screenshot shows an Excel spreadsheet with the following data:

Regression Statistics	
Multiple R	0.492524054
R Square	0.242579944
Adjusted R Square	-0.89355014
Standard Error	0.188006035
Observations	6

ANOVA					
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	3	0.022640795	0.00755	0.21351	0.880523542
Residual	2	0.070692539	0.03535		
Total	5	0.093333333			

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	1.62363823	1.769370259	0.91764	0.45568	-5.989347546	9.23662	-5.989347546	9.236624005
X Variable 1	-0.028661562	0.085235162	-0.33626	0.76867	-0.395398866	0.33808	-0.395398866	0.338075742
X Variable 2	-0.016386004	0.024472399	-0.66957	0.57208	-0.12168224	0.08891	-0.12168224	0.088910231
X Variable 3	0.005130751	0.007027445	0.7301	0.54127	-0.025105906	0.03537	-0.025105906	0.035367408

4. c.) iii.)

Formula bar: $=1.62363822964517-0.0286615619104321*(B8)-0.0163860041346794*(C8)+0.00513075097989348*(D8)$

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1								
2	x1	x2	x3	x4		x0 (estimated fom least square line)		Difference/Significance
3		0.5	4.5	84	74.8	0.502017027		-0.002017027
4		0.4	3.9	82	34	0.342651332		0.057348668
5		0.3	3.3	74	32.8	0.484779402		-0.184779402
6		0.4	5.2	81	64	0.475699836		-0.075699836
7		0.5	6.1	76	48.9	0.454360111		0.045639889
8		0.7	3.2	74	43.1	0.540492293		0.159507707

4. d.)

