

IBRAHIM IBRAHIM ABDUL-SALAM

17/05/2019

- to design for safety
- it must be economical
- The deformation of the structure must not impose structure

Linear elastic design considers the advantages of load factor design a

Linear elastic design considers all the advantages of plastic and load factor design and any other failure that can cause the structure to be structural upset while an elastic design method, is a method of analysis in which the design of a structural member is based on a linear stress strain relationship, assuming that the working stresses are only the fraction of the elastic limit of the material.

Stair case design

$$\text{slope factor} = \frac{\sqrt{R^2 + T^2}}{T} = \frac{\sqrt{150^2 + 275^2}}{275}$$

Load Analysis

$$A. \text{ weight} = m \times 24 \text{ kN/m}^2 = 0.15 \times 24 = 3.6 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

$$B. \text{ finishes} = 1.2 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

$$C. \text{ steps} = T \times \frac{1}{2} \times 24 \text{ kN/m}^2 = 0.275 \times 0.5 \times 24 = 3.3 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

$$D. \text{ G14} = (C \times B) \times SF + C = 3.3 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

$$D. \text{ G14} = (C \times B) \times SF + C = (4.8 \times 1.4) + 3.3 = 8.77 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

$$D. L_f = 1.4 \text{ Q14} + 1.6 \text{ Q14} \\ = 1.4(8.77) + 1.6(1.5) \\ = 14.68 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

$$\text{span} = 1 + 0.5 \text{ (cut } | b) = (275 \times 12) + 0.5 \\ (225 + 225) = 3.525 \text{ m.}$$

$$d = h - \text{cover} - \frac{1}{2} \phi \\ = 150 - 25 - 6 = 119 \text{ mm}$$

$$M = \frac{fL^2}{10} = \frac{14.68 \times 3.525^2}{10} = 18.24 \text{ kNm}$$

$$I_a = 0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 - \frac{0.002}{0.9}} = 0.938$$

$$2 = I_{ad} = 0.938 \times \frac{119}{119} = 111.622 \text{ m}$$

$$A_s = \frac{M}{\sigma_{95/92}} = \frac{19.24 \times 10^2}{0.95 \times 410 \times 111.622}$$

$$A_{s \text{ prov}} = 452 \text{ mm}$$

provide 4/2 @ 259 c/c

$$(A_{s \text{ prov}} = 452 \text{ mm})$$

Deflection check

$$f_s = \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{l}{f} \times \frac{\text{Area}}{A_{s \text{ prov}}} \times f_y$$

$$\frac{2}{3} \times 1 \times \frac{419.53}{452} \times 250 = 154.69 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$m_f = \frac{0.55 + 477 - 154.64}{176 \left(0.4 + \frac{19.24 \times 10^6}{1000 \times 119^2} \right)}$$

$$\theta_{\text{req}} = \frac{\text{span}}{\text{at } \times \text{odr}} = \frac{8525}{1.78 \times 26} = 76.17 \text{ mm}$$

since $\theta_{\text{req}} < d$, deflection is ok.

$$2a \quad f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \frac{4800}{4000} = 1.075 < 2 \quad \text{2 way slab}$$

$$f_2 = f_8 = f_9 = \frac{4800}{4000} = 1.075 < 2 \quad \text{2 way slab}$$

$$f_4 = f_5 = f_6 = \frac{4800}{4000} = 1.075 < 2 \quad \text{2 way slab}$$

$$f_7 = f_{10} = f_{11} = \frac{4000}{1500} = 2.66 > 2 \quad \text{one way slab}$$

Designing for f_2

$$\frac{l_y}{l_x} = \frac{4800}{4000} = 1.075 = 1.1 \quad \text{2 way Slab}$$

Shortspan coefficient = —

$$\text{Long span coefficient} = \frac{0.054}{0.055}$$

Specification of slab thickness = 175 mm

$$f_{ex} = 25 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$f_y = 415 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$DL = 1.4 G_k + 1.6 Q_k$$

$$G_k = \text{weight slab} = 0.175 \times 24$$

$$p_{akm} = 1.0$$

$$\text{finishes} = 1.2$$

$$6.4 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

Assuming for factory

$$DL = (1.4 \times 6.4) + (1.6 \times 5)$$

$$= 16.96 \approx 17 \text{ kN/m}^2$$

$$\text{Short span coefficient} = 0.044$$

$$= 0.033$$

$$\text{Long span coefficient} = 0.037$$

$$= 0.028$$

$$\text{Short span mid} = f$$

$$M = 15 \times w l^2 \times c = 0.044 \times 17 \times 4^2$$

$$= 11.968$$

$$d = h - \text{cover} - \frac{1}{2} \phi = 144$$

$$h = \frac{M}{b d^2 F_{cu}} = \frac{11.968 \times 10^6}{1000 \times 144^2 \times 25}$$

$$Z_u = 0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 - \frac{0.002}{0.9}} = 0.97 > 0.95 = 0.95$$

$$Z \Rightarrow Z_{red} = 0.95 \times 144$$

$$A_s = \frac{M}{0.95 f_y Z} = \frac{11.968 \times 10^6}{0.95 \times 410 \times 130.8}$$

provide 912 mm $3\phi 7 \text{ mm}$

$$M = b \times w l^2 \times \alpha = 0.033 \times 17 \times 4^2$$

$$d = 144$$

$$h = \frac{M}{b d^2 \rho_{cu}} = \frac{8.976 \times 10^6}{1000 \times 144^2 \times 25}$$

$$Z_a = 0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 - \frac{0.033 \times 17}{0.9}} = 0.83$$

$$Z = Z_a \times d = 0.83 \times 144$$

$$A_s = \frac{M}{0.95 \rho_{cu} Z} = \frac{8.976 \times 10^6}{0.95 \times 144 \times 119.52} = 192.99 \text{ mm}^2$$

provide of 12 nos 37mm

long span

m.d

$d = d(\text{short span}) = \text{steel thickness}$

$$144 - 12 = 132 \text{ mm}$$

$$M = b \times w l^2 \times \alpha = 0.037 \times 17 \times 4^2 = 10.664$$

$$h = \frac{M}{b d^2 \rho_{cu}} = \frac{10.664 \times 10^6}{1000 \times 132^2 \times 25} = 0.0237$$

$$Z_{eu} = 0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{0.023}{0.9}} = 0.97 > 0.95$$

$$= 0.95$$

$$Z = Z_{ed} = 0.95 \times 132 = 125.4$$

$$D_s = \frac{M}{0.95 f_{yk}} = \frac{10.564 \times 10^6}{0.95 \times 1254 \times 40} = 206.04$$

provide 4/12 at 317 mm

long span embank

$$d = 1320 \text{ mm}$$

$$M_s = B \omega l^2 \alpha = 0.028 \times 17 \times 14^2 = 7.666$$

$$k = \frac{M}{1000^2 l_{eu}} = \frac{7.666 \times 10^6}{1000 \times 132^2 \times 2} = 0.017$$

$$Z_{eu} = 0.5 + \sqrt{0.25 + \frac{0.017}{0.9}} = 0.987 > 0.95$$

$$= 0.95$$

$$Z = Z_{ed} = 0.95 \times 132 = 125.4$$

$$D_s = \frac{M}{0.95 f_{yk}} = \frac{2.616 \times 10^6}{0.95 \times 40 \times 1254} = 155.93$$

provide 4/12 @ 317 mm

Deflection check

$$f_s = \frac{2}{3} f_y t_D$$

σ_{rey}
as proved

$$f_s = \frac{2}{3} \times 250 \times 1 \quad \neq \frac{224.61}{377} = 99.3$$

$$M_{1,2} = 0.55 + 477 - 99.3$$

$$120 (0.9 + \frac{\pi \cdot 968 \times 10^6}{1000 \times 144})$$

$$= 2.68$$

$$\sigma_{rey} = \frac{4 \times 1000}{2 \times 26} = 76.92$$

since $\sigma_{rey} < f_s$, Deflection is ok.