NAME: OKWUCHI OGECHUKWU MARYANN

DEPT: ACCOUNTING

MATRIC NO: 17/SMS02/046

The summary of the financial performance of two companies in the same industry using accounting ratios.

THE NAME OF ANLYSED COMPANIES UNDER VARIOUS SECTORS

1) Oil and gas sector: Mobil oil Nig plc

Oando plc

2) Telecommunication sector: MTN

Omatek ventures

3) Consumer services: ABC transport

Capital hotel

4) Consumer goods: flour mill plc

Nestle plc

5) Industrial sector: Cutix plc

Beta glass

6) Financial sector: Eco bank

Assess bank

7) Health sector: Glaxo smith

Ekocorp

8) Basic material: berger paints

CAP plc

OIL AND GAS SECTOR

THE ACCOUNTING RATIOS OF MOBIL OIL NIG PLC 2018

1) SHORT TERM SOLVENCY RATIOS



- a) Current ratios
 - = <u>current assets</u>

Current liabilities

34,183,632

19,327,761 = 76:1

b) Acid test ratios

<u>Current assets - inventory</u>

Current liabilities

34,183,632-17,918,599

19,327,761 =0.84:1

c) Receivable collection period

Average trade receivable x 365days

Credit sales

11,513,890 x 365 days

164,609,535

=25days

d) Payables payment period

Average trade payable x 365 days

Credit payables

8,212,101 x 365days

148,015,916

= 20days

e) Inventory turnover period

<u>Average inventory</u> x 365 days

Cost of sales

8,959,210 x 365 days

148,015,916

- = 22 days
- f) Receivable turn over

Credit sales

Average receivables

164,609,535

11,513,890

- = 14times
- g) Payables turn over

<u>Credit purchases</u>

Average payables

148,015,916

8,212,101

=18times

h) Inventory turn over

Cost of sales

Average inventory

148,015,916

8,959,210

= 16times

- 2) PROFITABILITY RATIO
 - i) Gross profit margin

```
Gross profit x 100
     Sales
   16,593,619 x 100
   164,609,535
   =10%
j) ROCE
   <u>PBIT x 100</u>
   Capital employed
   13,695,459
   33,772,775
   =41%
k) Net profit margin
   Net profit x 100
   Sales
   9,328,935 x 100
   164,609,535
   =6%
I) Expenses percentage
   <u>Individual expense</u> x 100
   Total expense
   6,924,989 x 100
   16,385,147
   =42%
```

m) Administration expens	es
--	----

n) Other operating expenses

o) Income tax expense

3) INVESTORS RATIO

a) Earnings per share

b) Price earnings ratio

MPs

Eps

<u>147.9</u>

2,587

=0.057

=0.1times

c) Earning yield

	<u>Lps</u>
	MPs
	2,587
	147.9
	=17times
d)	Dividend per share
	Gross dividend
	No of ordinary shares
	180,297,630
	360,595,261
	= N 0.5
e)	Dividend pay out
	<u>Dps</u> x 100
	Eps
	<u>50</u> x 100
	2587
	=2%
f)	Dividend yield
	<u>Dps</u>
	MPs
	<u>0.5</u> x 100
	147.9
	=0.34%
g)	Dividend cover

f)

```
Eps
   Dps
   2587
   50
   =52times
   LONG TERM SOLENCY RATIO
h) Gearing ratio
   <u>Debt</u>
   Equity
   36,888,023
   33,772,775
   =1
i) Total debt to equity
   Noncurrent liabilities + current liabilities
                 Equity
   19,327,761+17,560,262
          33,772,775
   =1
j) Fixed interest covered
   <u>PBIT</u>
   Finance cost
   13,695,459
   28,368
   =483times
```

THE ACCOUNTING RATIOS FOR OANDO FINANCIAL STATEMENT AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2018

- 1) SHORT TERM SOLVENCY
 - a) Current ratios

130,118,542

448,602,832

=0.29:1

b) Quick assets ratio

130,118,542-28,392,500

227,409,609

=:0.2:1

c) Receivables collection period

84,791,443 x 365days

679,465,339

=45days

d) Payables payment period

265,417,181 x 365days

583,191,386

=0.45x365

=166days

e) Inventory turnover period

14,196,250 x 365 days

583,191,386

= 9 days

f) Receivable turn over period

679,465,339

84,791,443

=8times

g) Payables turnover period

<u>583,191,386</u>

265,417,181

=2times

h) Inventory turnover

583,191,386

29,392500

=19times

PROFITABILITY

i) Gross profit margin

96,273,953 x 100

679,465,339

=14%

```
j) ROCE
```

96,273,953 x 100

277,116,711

=35%

k) Net profit margin

(18,321,877) x 100

488,518,160

=4%

I) Expenses percentage

Administrative expenses

10,939,966 x 100

29,148,939

=38%

m) Finance cost

17,582,406 x 100

29,148,939

=60%

n) Income tax expense

626,567 x 100

29,148,939

=2%

INVESTORS RATIO

<u>0.5</u> x 100

```
3.89
=13%
h) Dividend covered

197
50
=4times
LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
a) Gearing ratio
69,856,667
60,899,568
=1.1
```

b) Total debt to equity

297,266,276

60,899,568

=5

c) Fixed interest covered

17,695,310

17,582,406

=1

INTERPRETATION AND COMPARISON FOR OIL AND GAS SECTOR

- 1) Current ratio: as regards to this ratio Mobil oil performed better than Oando plc. and therefore, equally has a better chance of settling its current liability than Oando.
- 2) Acid test ratio: they both didn't perform well under this ratio since they didn't

- meet the rule of 1:1, but Mobil oil has the ability to meet its short term debt as compared to Oando
- 3) Receivable collection period: in this ratio Mobil oil has a shorter receivable collection period, while that of Oando is longer. This simply shows that Mobil oil collect from their debtors just in time to settle their payables.
- 4) Payables payment period: from the computation of this ratio this shows that they both perform well, because both Oando plc. And Mobil oil, are both able to pay their debts in time, even before collecting from their receivables.
- 5) Inventory turnover: this ratio measure the average number of day's inventory spends in the store before being sold. Oando plc. is at an advantage because it is lower, which means it sells out its goods faster than Mobil oil.
- 6) Receivable turnover: this indicates the number of times it takes receivable to turnover during a period. Therefore, Mobil oil performed better than Oando plc. since its higher, and the higher the better.
- 7) Payables turn over: this measures the number of times payables are turned over in a period. The above computation indicates that Oando plc. is better, since its lower and the lower the better.
- 8) Inventory turnover: this ratio measures the physical turnover of inventory, from the moment they are purchased to the point they are sold. Oando plc. Is better because it has a higher inventory turnover. This means that he sells goods at a faster pace as compared to Mobil oil.
- 9) ROCE: this ratio measures the profitability of a business. This therefore indicates that Mobil oil is more profitable because it has a higher return on capital employed.
- 10)Gross profit margin: this ratio shows the average gross profit as a percentage of goods sold. From the above computation Oando plc. has a better percentage than Mobil oil.

- 11)Net profit margin: this measures the profitability of a business after taking into account all income and expenses for the period in question. From the above computation Mobil oil has a better net profit as a percentage to sales.
- 12) Earnings per share: this ratio measures the amount of PAT and preference dividend attributable to each ordinary shares. Therefore, from the analysis Mobil oil has the better eps ratio than Oando plc.
- 13) Price earnings ratio: this ratio measures the number of years it takes to recoup its shareholders investment. Therefore, Mobil oil is better since it takes lesser time to recoup its shareholders investment.
- 14) Earning yield: oando plc. Has a better earning yield than Mobil oil and therefore their shareholders are able to recoup a higher investment.
- 15)Net asset per share: this ratio shows the amount of net asset attributable to each ordinary share in issue. Therefore Oando plc. Has a better ratio than Mobil oil.
- 16)Dividend per share: from the above computation the both companies have the same Dps which is ₩0.5.
- 17)Dividend pay-out ratio: from the computation above Oando plc. Has a higher dividend pay-out ratio than Mobil oil. This indicates that the shareholders from Oando plc. Get high pay in form of dividend as compared to Mobil oil.
- 18)Dividend yield: this ratio measures the actual return on shareholders' investment. Therefore, based on the above computation Oando plc. Is better

since it's higher than Mobil oil.

19) Dividend cover: Mobil oil has a higher dividend cover and is therefore better than Oando plc.

20) Gearing ratio: they both have a highly geared ratio since they are both greater than one that is it has more of fixed interest capital to equity, which is bad for the company.

21)Total debt to equity: based on the above computation Mobil oil is better due to its low ratio which indicates that the company is stable while Oando plc. has a higher chance of liquidation.

22) Fixed interest cover: this ratio measures the number of times fixed interest is covered by profit. The higher this ratio the higher the company's ability to obtain loan, therefore, Mobil oil performed better than Oando.

TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES

₩

OMATEK VENTURES

Ratio computation from the statement of profit or loss and the statement of financial position as at 2018 (group)

Liquidity ratios

a) Current ratio

766,000,000

6,903,000

b) Quick asset ratio

766,000,000-620,000,000

6,903,000

=1:21

c) Receivable collection period

108,000,000 x 12months

18000000

=72months

d) Payables payment period

5,918,000 x 12months

5,000,000

=14months

e) Inventory turnover period

310,000,000 x 12months

5000000

=744months

f) Receivable turnover period

18,000,000

108,000,000

=0.16

=0times

g) Payables turnover

5,000,000

```
5,918,000 = 0.84
=1time
h) Inventory turn over
5,000,000
```

310,000,000

=0.01times

PROFITABILITY

ROCE

<u>1,154,000 x 100</u>

5,964,000

=19%

i) Gross profit margin

130,000,000 x 100

15,000,000

=72%

j) Net profit margin

(1,045,000) x 100

80,000,000

=1.3%

i) Expense percentage

Admin expenses

43,000,000 x 100

966,000,000

=4%

```
j) Income tax expense
       <u>4,000,000</u> x 100
       966,000,000
        =0.4%
    k) Finance cost
       919,000,000 x 100
       966,000,000
        =95%
        INVESTORS RATIO
    I) Net asset per share
        <u>5,288,000</u>
        2,941,789
        =<del>N</del>2
    m) Dividend per share
       <u>1,470,895</u>
       2,941,789
        =<del>N</del>0.5
        LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
a) Gearing ratio
   <u>8,335,000</u>
   3,064,000
   =3
b) Total debt to equity
   3,909,000+4,425,000
```

```
3,046,000
   =3
c) Fixed interest covered
  1,042,000
   919,000,000
   0times
       THE RATIO COMPUTATION FOR MTN ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT
       AND STSTEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
       SHORT TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
       ₩
  a) Current ratio
      _58,038,000
       72,570,000
      =0.8
      =1:1
  b) Acid test ratio
     58,038,000-2,995,000
         72,570,000
        =0.75:1
  c) Receivable collection period
      26,669,000 x 12 months
```

134,560,000

d) Payable payment period

=2months

```
48,354,000 x 12months
   25,370,000
   =22 months
e) Inventory period
   2,995,000 x 12months
   25,370,000
   = 1 month
f) Receivable turnover
   134,560,000
   26,669,000
   =5times
g) Payables turnover
   25,370,000
   48,354,000
   =1times
h) Inventory turnover
   25,370,000
   2,995,000
   =8times
   PROFITABILITY RATIO
i) ROCE
   <u>15,008,000 x</u> 100
   88,226,000
   =17%
```

J)	Expenses percentage
	Finance cost
	<u>404,000,000</u> x 100
	625,000,000
	=65%
k)	Operating cost
	<u>266,000,000</u> x 100
	625,000,000
	=43%
l)	Income tax expenses
	<u>15,000,000</u> x 100
	625,000,000
	=2%
	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
m)	
m)	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
m)	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO Gearing ratio
m)	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO Gearing ratio 2,866,000
·	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO Gearing ratio 2,866,000 21,490,000
·	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO Gearing ratio 2,866,000 21,490,000 =0.13
·	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO Gearing ratio 2,866,000 21,490,000 =0.13 Total debt to equity
·	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO Gearing ratio 2,866,000 21,490,000 =0.13 Total debt to equity 2,866,000
n)	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO Gearing ratio 2,866,000 21,490,000 =0.13 Total debt to equity 2,866,000 21,490,000

=0.03times

INTERPRETATION AND COMPARISON FOR THE TELECOMMUNICATION SECTOR

- Current ratio: as regards to the above computation Omatek performed better than MTN and therefore, has a better chance of settling its current liability than MTN.
- Acid test ratio: Omatek performs better than Mtn since its ratio meets the rule of 1:1, this means that Omatek can easily meet their short term debts as opposed to Mtn.
- 3) Receivable collection period: in this ratio Mtn has a shorter receivable collection period, while that of Omatek is longer. This simply shows that Mtn collects from their debtors just in time to settle their payables.
- 4) Payables payment period: Mtn performs better under this ratio because it collects its receivables just in time to pay its debts, while Omatek don't collect their receivables in time and may not be able to settle its payables.
- 5) Inventory turnover: this ratio measure the average number of day's inventory spends in the store before being sold Mtn is at an advantage because it is lower, which means it sells out its goods faster than Omatek.
- 6) Receivable turnover: this indicates the number of times it takes receivable to turnover during a period. Therefore, Mtn performed better than Omatek since its higher, and the higher the better.
- 7) Payables turn over: both Mtn and Omatek are good in this ratio since they both have a low payable turnover.
- 8) Inventory turnover: this ratio measures the physical turnover of inventory, from the moment they are purchased to the point they are sold. MTN is better because it has a higher inventory turnover. This means that he sells goods at a faster pace as compared to Omatek.

	₦
a)	Current ratio:
	1,824,309
	2,249,247
	=0.81:1
b)	Acid test ratio:
	1,824,309-853,448
	2,249,247
	=:0.4:1
c)	Receivable collection period
	<u>326,642</u> x 365days
	1,701,475
	=70days
d)	Payables payment period
	<u>1,369,191</u> x 365days
	3,704,150
	=135days
e)	Inventory turnover
	<u>853,448</u> x365
	3,704,150
	=84days
f)	Receivable turnover
	4,743,755

326,642

- =15times
- g) Payables turnover

3,704,150

1,369,191

=3times

h) Inventory turn over

3,704,150

853,448

=4times

PROFITABILITY RATIO

i) ROCE:

<u>56,980</u> x 100

1,827,669

=3%

j) Gross profit

<u>1,039,605</u> x 100

4,743,755

=22%

INVESTORS RATIO

k) Eps =7

MPs=0.41

I) Price earning

```
0.41
      7
     =0times
m) Earning yield\
      7
     0.41
      =17times
   CAPITAL HOTEL\
   THE RATIO COMPUTATION OF CAPITAL HOTEL FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND
   STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION.
  LIQUIDITY RATIO
    ₩
a) Current ratio
         5,698,295
         2,630,478
           =2:1
b)
      Acid test ratio
       5,698,295-141,990
          2,630,478
           =2.1:1
c) Receivable collection period
      <u>1,620,077</u> x 365days
       5,977,436
```

```
=99days
```

d) Payables payment period

2,378,096 x 365days

4,869,732

=178days

e) Inventory turnover period

141,990 x 365days

4,869,732

=11days

f) Receivable turnover

5,977,436

1,620,077

=4times

g) Payables turnover

4,869,732

2,378,096

=2times

h) Inventory turnover

4,869,732

141,990

=34times

PROFITABILITY RATIO

i) ROCE

<u>507,781</u> x 100

6,416,983

=10%

j) Gross profit

1,107,704

6,416,983

=19%

INVESTORS RATIO

k) Eps = 0.25

MPs = 2.75

I) Price earnings ratio

2.75

0.25 =11times

k) Earning yield

0.25

2.75

=0times

THE INTERPRETATION AND COMPARISON FOR CONSUMER SERVICES

- Current ratio: as regards to this ratio Capital hotel performed better than ABC transport. And therefore, equally has a better chance of settling its current liability than ABC transport.
- 2) Acid test ratio: ABC transport did not perform well under this ratio because it does not abide by the rule of 1:1 while Capital hotel on the other hand abides by the rulenofn1:1, which means it can settle its short term debts.
- 3) Receivable collection period: in this ratio ABC transport has a shorter receivable collection period, while that of Capital hotel is longer. This simply

- shows that ABC transport collect from their debtors just in time to settle their payables.
- 4) Payables payment period: from the computation of this ratio this shows that they both perform well, because both ABC transport and Capital hotel, are both able to collect their receivables in time to settle their payables.
- 5) Inventory turnover: ABC transport is at an advantage because it is lower, which means it sells out its goods faster than Capital hotel.
- 6) Receivable turnover: this indicates the number of times it takes receivable to turnover during a period. Therefore, ABC hotels performed better than Capital hotel since its higher, and the higher the better.
- 7) Payables turn over: this measures the number of times payables are turned over in a period. The above computation indicates that Capital hotel is better, since it has a lower payables turn over as compared to ABC transport.
- 8) Inventory turnover: this ratio measures the physical turnover of inventory, from the moment they are purchased to the point they are sold. Capital hotel is better because it has a higher inventory turnover. This means that he sells goods at a faster pace as compared to ABC transport.
- 9) ROCE: this ratio measures the profitability of a business. This therefore indicates that Capital hotel is more profitable because it has a higher return on capital employed.
- 10)Gross profit margin: this ratio shows the average gross profit as a percentage of goods sold. From the above computation ABC hotels has a better percentage than Capital hotels.
- 11) Earnings per share: this ratio measures the amount of PAT and preference dividend attributable to each ordinary shares. Therefore, from the analysis ABC transport has the better eps ratio than Capital hotel.

- 12)Price earnings ratio: this ratio measures the number of years it takes to recoup its shareholders investment. Therefore, ABC transport is better since it takes lesser time to recoup its shareholders investment.
- 13) Earning yield: ABC transport has a better earning yield than Capital hotel and therefore their shareholders are able to recoup a higher investment.

CONSUMER GOODS SECTOR

THE RATIO COMPUTATION FLOUR MILL NIG PLC STATEMENT OF FINANACIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

₩

- 1) Liquidity ratio
 - a) Current ratio

<u>154,380,788</u>

140,074,526

=1.10:1

b) Acid test ratio

<u>154,380,788-71,755,238</u>

140,078,526

=0.59:1

c) Receivable collection period

```
49,546,925 x 365days
```

389,397,826

=46days

d) Payables payment period

40,126,542 x 365days

337,820,842

=44days

e) Inventory turnover period

_71,755,238 x 365days

337,820,842

= 78days

f) Receivable turnover

389,397,836

49,546,925

=8times

g) Payables turnover

337,820,842

40,126,542

=8times

h) Inventory turnover

71,755,238

337,820,842

=5times

PROFITABIITY RATIO

- i) ROCE 14,153,983 X 100 182,530,056 =8% j) Gross profit margin ___51,576,994 x 100 389,397,836 =13% k) Net profit margin 9,244,729 x 100 389,397,836 =2%
- l) Selling and distribution expenses

 5,595,264 x 100

 52,143,219

=11%

I) Administrative expenses

52,143,219

= 22%

m) Operating losses

52,143,219

=10%

- n) Finance cost
 - 24,941,948 x100

52,143,219

=48%

o) Income tax expenses

<u>4,909,254</u> x 100

52,143,219

=9%

p) Selling and distribution expenses

5,595,264 x 100

389,397,836

=1.4%

q) Administrative expenses

11,707,308 x 100

389,397,836

=3%

r) Operating losses

4,989,445 x 100

389,397,836

=1.3%

s) Finance cost

24,941,948 x 100

389,397,836

= 6%

	t) Income tax expenses
	4,909,254 x 100
	389,397,836
	=1.3%
	INVESTORS RATIO
a)	Eps
	9,244,729
	2,624,253
	=3.52k
b)	Price earnings ratio
	20.40
	3.52
	=6years

c) Earning yield

<u>3.52</u> x 100

20.40

17%

d) Net asset per share

322,604,582

2,624,253

=N123

e) Dividend per share

<u>1,312,127</u>

2,624,253

f) Dividend pay-out ratio

<u>50</u> x 100

352

=14%

g) Dividend yield

<u>0.5</u> x 100

20.40

=2%

h) Dividend cover

352

50

=7times

LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO

i) Gearing ratio

171,158,286

151,446,296

=1.13

j) Total debt to shareholders

31,083,760+140,074,526

151,446,296 =1.13

k) Fixed interest cover

14,153,983

24,941,948

THE RATIO COMPUTATION FOR NESTLE PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

LIQUIDITY RATIO

₩

a) Current ratio\

82,734,317

92,117,501

=0.90:1

b) Acid test ratio

82,734,317-23,124,020

92,117,501

=0.65:1

c) Receivable collection period

42,175,062x 365days

266,274,621

=58days

d) Payables payment period

<u>60,384,454</u> x 365days

152,354,445

=145days

e) Inventory turnover period

23,124,020 x 365days

42,175,062

=6times

g) Payables turnover

152,354,445

60,384,454

=3times

h) Inventory turnover

152,354,445

23,124,020

= 7times

PROFITABILITY RATIO

ROCE

i) <u>59,750,846</u> X 100

70,216,921

=85%

Gross profit margin

j) <u>113,920,176</u> X 100

266,274,621

=43%

Net profit margin

k) <u>43,008,026</u> x 100 266,274,621 =16%

I) Selling and distribution expenses

43,489,890 x 100

72,629,039

=60%

m) Administrative expenses

<u>9,789,555</u> x 100

72,629,039

=13%

n) Finance cost

2,606,774 x 100

72,629,039

=4%

o) Income tax expense

<u>16,742,820</u> x 100

72,629,039

=23%

p) Selling and distribution expenses

43,489,890 x 100

```
266,274,621
     =16%
q) Administrative expenses
   <u>9,789,555</u> x 100
   266,274,621
   =4%
r) Finance cost
   2,606,774 x 100
   266,274,621
   =1%
s) Income tax expenses
   16,742,820 x 100
   266,274,621
   =6%
```

SHARE HOLDERS RATIO

a) EPS

43,008,026 x 100

792,656

=5426kobo

b) Price earnings ratio

<u>1095</u>

54

=20years

c) Earning yield

```
<u>54</u> x 100
   1095
   =5%
d) Net asset per share
   162,334,422
   792,656
   =<del>N</del>205
e) Dividend per share
   396,328
   792,656
   =<del>N</del>0.5
f) Dividend pay-out
   <u>50</u> x 100
   5426
   =1%
g) Dividend yield
   <u>0.5</u> x 100
   1095
   =0.05%
h) Dividend cover
   <u>5426</u>
   50
   =109times
   LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
```

- a) Gearing ratio
- 112,113,936

50,220,486

=2.2

b) Total debt to share holders

<u>19,996,435+ 92,117,501</u>

50,220,486

=2.2

c) Fixed interest covered

59,750,846

2*-9,606,774

=30times

SECTOR.

INTERPRETATION AND COMPARISON OF CONSUMER GOODS

- Current ratio: as regards to this ratio FMN performed better than Nestle plc and therefore its current assets can easily settle its current liabilities, while nesle plc will find it difficult to settle its current liabilities.
- 2) Acid test ratio: they both didn't perform well under this ratio since they didn't meet the rule of 1:1 and may therefore not be able to meet their short term debt, but Nestle plc has the ability to meet its short term debt as compared to FMN.
- 3) Receivable collection period: in this ratio Nestle Plc has a shorter receivable

- collection period, while that of FMN is longer. This simply shows that Nestle plc collect from their debtors just in time to settle their payables.
- 4) Payables payment period: from the computation of this ratio Nestle plc has a longer payables payment period as compared to its receivable collection period, which means them able to meet their payables, while FMN may not because its payable has a shorter period and can't collect their receivables in time.
- 5) Inventory turnover period: this ratio measure the average number of day's inventory spends in the store before being sold. Nestle plc. is at an advantage because it is lower, which means it sells out its goods faster than FMC.
- 6) Receivable turnover: this indicates the number of times it takes receivable to turnover during a period. Therefore, FMN performed better than Nestle plc.

 Since its higher, and the higher the better.
- 7) Payables turn over: this measures the number of times payables are turned over in a period. The above computation indicates that Nestle plc. Is better, since its lower and the lower the better.
- 8) Inventory turnover: this ratio measures the physical turnover of inventory, from the moment they are purchased to the point they are sold. Nestle plc. Is better because it has a higher inventory turnover. This means that he sells goods at a faster pace as compared to FMC.
- 9) ROCE: this ratio measures the profitability of a business. This therefore indicates that Nestle plc. is more profitable than FMN because it has a higher return on capital employed.
- 10)Gross profit margin: this ratio shows the average gross profit as a percentage of goods sold. From the above computation Nestle plc. has a better percentage than FMN.
- 11) Net profit margin: this measures the profitability of a business after taking into account all income and expenses for the period in question. From the

above computation Nestle plc. has a better net profit as a percentage to sales.

- 12) Earnings per share: this ratio measures the amount of PAT and preference dividend attributable to each ordinary shares. Therefore, from the analysis Nestle plc. has the better eps ratio than FMN.
- 13) Price earnings ratio: this ratio measures the number of years it takes to recoup its shareholders investment. Therefore, FMN is better since it takes lesser time to recoup its shareholders investment.
- 14) Earning yield: FMN has a better earning yield than Nestle plc and therefore their shareholders are able to recoup a higher investment.
- 15)Net asset per share: this ratio shows the amount of net asset attributable to each ordinary share in issue. Therefore Nestle plc. has a better ratio than FMC
- 16)Dividend per share: from the above computation the both companies have the same Dps which is \(\frac{\text{\text{N}}}{0.5}\).
- 17) Dividend pay-out ratio: from the computation above FMN plc. has a higher dividend pay-out ratio than Nestle plc. This indicates that the shareholders from FMN plc. get high pay in form of dividend as compared to Mobil oil.
- 18) Dividend yield: this ratio measures the actual return on shareholders' investment. Therefore, based on the above computation FMN plc. Is better since its dividend yield is higher than Nestle plc.

- 19) Dividend cover: from the above computation both companies have good dividend cover ratio since they are both greater than one. But, Nestle plc. Has a higher dividend cover and is therefore better than FMN plc.
- 20) Gearing ratio: they both have a highly geared ratio since they are both greater than one that is it has more of fixed interest capital to equity, which is bad for the company.
- 21)Total debt to equity: based on the above computation FMN plc. Is better due to its low ratio which indicates that the company is stable while Nestle plc. has a higher chance of liquidation.
- 22) Fixed interest cover: this ratio measures the number of times fixed interest is covered by profit. The higher this ratio the higher the company's ability to obtain loan, therefore, Nestle plc. performed better than FMN.

BASIC MATERIALS SECTOR

THE RATIO COMPUTATION FOR BERGER PAINTS PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

LIQUIDITY RATIO

₩

a) Current ratio\

1,642,124

```
1,285,038
     =1.28:1
b) Acid test ratio
   1,646,124-606,712
      1,285,038
   =0.80:1
c) Receivable collection period
   190,982x 365days
   3,377,223
   =21days
d) Payables payment period
   622,491 x 365days
   * 1,896,862
       =120days
e) Inventory turnover period
    606,712x 365days
   1,896,862
      =117days
f) Receivable turn over
    3,377,223
     190,982
       =18times
```

g) Payables turnover 1,896,862

```
622,491
=3times
```

h) Inventory turnover

```
1,896,862
```

606,712

= 3times

PROFITABILITY RATIO

ROCE

i) <u>454,328</u> X 100

3,250,261

=14%

Gross profit margin

j) <u>1,480,361</u> X 100

3,377,223

=44%

Net profit margin

k) <u>320,509</u>x 100

3,377,223

=10%

I) Selling and distribution expenses

829,609 x 100

```
1,234,862
      =67%
m) Administrative expenses
      14,899,000 x 100
      1,234,862
         =1.2%
n) Finance cost
   <u>19,160,</u> x 100
   1,234,862
      =1.6%
o) Income tax expense
   133,819 x 100
   1,234,862
      =11%
p) Selling and distribution expenses
   237,375 x 100
   3,377,223
     =7%
q) Administrative expenses
   829,609 x 100
   3,377,223
   =25%
r) Finance cost
```

19,160 x 100

```
=1%
 s) Income tax expenses
    <u>133,819</u> x 100
    3,377,233
    =4%
SHARE HOLDERS RATIO
 i) EPS
    320,509-0 x 100
    289,823
    =1.11kobo
j) Price earnings ratio
    7.45
    1.11
    6years
 k) Earning yield
    <u>1.11</u> x 100
    7.45
    =15%
 I) Net asset per share
    <u>4,535,299-0</u>
    289,823
    =<del>N</del>16
 m) Dividend per share
```

3,377,223

```
<u>144,912</u>
   289,823
   =<del>N</del>0.5
n) Dividend pay-out
   <u>50</u> x 100
   1.11
   =45%
o) Dividend yield
   <u>0.5</u> x 100
   7.45
   =7%
p) Dividend cover
   <u>1.11</u>
   50
   =2.2times
   LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
t) Gearing ratio
   1,722,247
   2,813,052
   =0.61
u) Total debt to share holders
   437,209+1,285,038
        2,813,052
   =0.61
```

v)	Fixed interest covered
	454,328
	19,160
	=24times
	THE RATIO COMPUTATION FOR CAP PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS
LIQ	UIDITY RATIO
	₩
a)	Current ratio\
	5,545,093
	3,375,254
	=1.64:1
b)	Acid test ratio
	<u>5,545,093-884,115</u>
	3,375,254
	=1.38:1
c)	Receivable collection period
	<u>172,488</u> x 365days
	7,764,543
	=8days
d)	Payables payment period
	<u>1,559,016</u> x 365days
	4,034,516
	=141days

e) Inventory turnover period 884,115 x 365days 4,034,516 =80days f) Receivable turn over 7,764,534 172,488 =45times g) Payables turnover <u>4,034,561</u> 1,559,016 =3times h) Inventory turnover 4,034,561 1,559,016 = 5times PROFITABILITY RATIO **ROCE** i) <u>2,597,832</u> X 100 2,935,992 =88% Gross profit margin

j) <u>3,729,973</u> X 100

7,764,534

Net profit margin

k) 2,029,343 x 100

7,764,534

=26%

I) Selling and distribution expenses

2,089,716

=55%

m) Administrative expenses

72,629,039

=13%

n) Finance cost

14,618 x 100

2,089,716

=0.7%

o) Income tax expense

<u>568,489</u> x 100

2,089,716

=27%

p)	Selling and distribution expenses		
	<u>1,149,872</u> x 100		
	7,764,534		
	=15%		
q)	Administrative expenses		
	<u>9,789,555</u> x 100		
	266,274,621		
	=4%		
SHARE HOLDERS RATIO			
a)	EPS		
	<u>2,029,343</u> x 100		
	700,000		
	=290kobo		
b)	Price earnings ratio		
	20.65		
	2.9		
	=7years		
c)	Earning yield		
	<u>2.9</u> x 100		
	20.65		
	=14%		
d)	Net asset per share		
	6.311.246-0		

```
700,000
   =₩9
e) Dividend per share
   <u>175,000</u>
   700,000
   =<del>N</del>2.5
f) Dividend pay-out
   <u>250</u> x 100
   290
   =86%
g) Dividend yield
   <u>2.5</u> x 100
   20.65
   =12%
h) Dividend cover
   <u>290</u>
   250
   =1.2times
   LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
a) Gearing ratio
   3,502,307
   2,808,939
   =1.24
```

b) Total debt to share holders

<u>127,053+ 3,375,254</u>

2,808,939

=1.24

c) Fixed interest covered

2,597,832

214,618

=178times

INTERPRETATION AND COMPARISON

- Current ratio: based on the above computation both companies performed well under this ratio and their current assets can adequately cover their current liabilities. But CAP plc. performed better than Berger paints.
- 2) Acid test ratio: from the above computation CAP performed better than Berger paints since its ratio meets the general rule of 1:1, while Berger ratio means that if you take out its inventory from current assets they find it hard to meet their short term debts.
- 3) Receivable collection period: in this ratio CAP has a shorter receivable collection period, while that of Berger paints is longer. But both CAP and Berger paints can collect from their debtors just in time to settle their payables.
- 4) Payables payment period: from the computation of this ratio this shows that they both perform well, because both CAP and Berger paints, are able to pay their debts in time.
- 5) Inventory turnover: this ratio measure the average number of day's inventory spends in the store before being sold. CAP plc. Is at an advantage because it is lower, which means it sells out its goods faster than Berger paints.
- 6) Receivable turnover: this indicates the number of times it takes receivable to turnover during a period. Therefore, CAP plc. performed better than Berger

- paints. Since its higher, and the higher the better.
- 7) Payables turn over: this measures the number of times payables are turned over in a period. The above computation indicates that CAP plc. Is better, since its lower and the lower the better.
- 8) Inventory turnover: this ratio measures the physical turnover of inventory, from the moment they are purchased to the point they are sold. CAP plc. Is better because it has a higher inventory turnover. This means that he sells goods at a faster pace as compared to Berger plc.
- ROCE: this ratio measures the profitability of a business. This therefore
 indicates that CAP plc. Is more profitable because it has a higher return on
 capital employed.
- 10) Gross profit margin: this ratio shows the average gross profit as a percentage of goods sold. From the above computation CAP plc. has a better percentage than Berger plc.
- 11)Net profit margin: this measures the profitability of a business after taking into account all income and expenses for the period in question. From the above computation CAP plc. Has a better net profit as a percentage to sales than Berger paints.
- 12) Earnings per share: this ratio measures the amount of PAT and preference dividend attributable to each ordinary shares. Therefore, from the analysis CAP plc. has the better eps ratio than Berger paints.
- 13)Price earnings ratio: this ratio measures the number of years it takes to recoup its shareholders investment. Therefore, Berger paints is better since it takes lesser time to recoup its shareholders investment.

- 14) Earning yield: Berger paints has a better earning yield than CAP plc. and therefore their shareholders are able to recoup a higher investment.
- 15)Net asset per share: this ratio shows the amount of net asset attributable to each ordinary share in issue. Therefore Berger paints has a better ratio than CAP plc.
- 16) Dividend per share: this ratio shows the amount of gross dividend declared on every issue ordinary share. Based on the above computation CAP plc. did better since it has higher dps.
- 17) Dividend pay-out ratio: from the computation above CAP plc. Has a higher dividend pay-out ratio than Berger paints. This indicates that the shareholders from CAP plc. get a high pay in form of dividend as compared to Berger paints.
- 18) Dividend yield: this ratio measures the actual return on shareholders' investment. Therefore, based on the above computation CAP plc. Is better since it's higher than Berger paints.
- 19) Dividend cover: this measures the number of times ordinary dividend is covered by distributable earnings. Based on my computations both companies have a good dividend cover since they are both greater than one, but Berger paints is better since it has a higher ratio than CAP plc.
- 20) Gearing ratio: from the above computation Berger paints plc. has a better gearing ratio than CAP plc. since its ratio is less than 1 which means it is lowly geared, while CAP is highly geared which is bad.

- 21) Total debt to equity: based on the above computation Berger plc. Is better due to its low ratio which indicates that the company is stable while CAP has a chance of liquidation.
- 22) Fixed interest cover: this ratio measures the number of times fixed interest is covered by profit. The higher this ratio the higher the company's ability to obtain loan, therefore, CAP plc. Performed better than Berger paints.

INDUSTRIAL SECTOR

THE RATIO COMPUTATION FOR CUTIX PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INTERPRETATION AND COMPARISON

POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

LIQUIDITY RATIO

₩

a) Current ratio\

1,957,976

1,359,513

=1.44:1

b) Acid test ratio

5,545,093-884,115

3,375,254

=1.38:1

c) Receivable collection period

525,058x 365days

5,057,374

=38days

d) Payables payment period 499,300 x 365days 3,536,685 =52days e) Inventory turnover period <u>1,317,958 x</u> 365days 3,536,685 =136days f) Receivable turn over 5,057,374 525,058 =9times g) Payables turnover 3,536,685 499,300 =7times h) Inventory turnover 3,536,658 1,317,958 = 3times PROFITABILITY RATIO ROCE i) <u>799,070</u> X 100

1,476,749

```
=54%
```

Gross profit margin

j) <u>1,520,689</u> X 100

3,536,685

=43%

Net profit margin

k) 440,295 x 100

3,536,685

=12%

I) Expense percentage

221,268x 100

799,070

=28%

m) Selling and distribution expenses

142,212 x 100

5,057,375

=2%

n) Administrative expenses

___613,304 x 100

799,070

=76%

o) Impairment loss

```
85,887 x 100
   5,057,375
   =2%
p) Finance cost
   137,507 x 100
   799,070
      =17%
q) Income tax expense
   221,268 x 100
   5,057,375
      =34%
r) Selling and distribution expenses
   <u>142,212</u> x 100
   5,057,374
     =3%
s) Administrative expenses
   <u>613,304</u> x 100
   3,536,685
   =17%
```

SHARE HOLDERS RATIO

i) EPS

=50kobo

j) Price earnings ratio

	=9times
k)	Earning yield
	<u>50</u> x 100
	1.47
	=34%
I)	Net asset per share
	2,836,262-104,356
	1,435,802
	= N 1.9
m)	Dividend per share
	=18kobo
n)	Dividend pay-out
	<u>18</u> x 100
	50
	=36%
o)	Dividend yield
	<u>18</u> x 100
	1.47
	=12%
p)	Dividend cover
	<u>50</u>

<u>1.47</u>

50

```
18
      =3times
      LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
   t) Gearing ratio
      <u>177,457</u>
      1,299,292
      =0.13
   u) Total debt to share holders
      <u>177,457+1,359,513</u>
         1,299,292
      =1.18
   v) Fixed interest covered
      799,070
      137,507
      =5.8
THE RATIO COMPUTATION FOR BETA GLASS PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL
POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS
  LIQUIDITY RATIO
    ₩
   a) Current ratio\
      28,550,830
      13,723,312
```

=208:1

b) Acid test ratio

28,550,830-6,239,740

13,723,312

=1.63:1

c) Receivable collection period

13,438,292x 365days

26,321,014

=186days

d) Payables payment period

11,598,037 x 365days

19,940,375

=212days

e) Inventory turnover period

<u>6,239,740 x</u> 365days

19,940,375

=114days

f) Receivable turn over

26,321,014

13,438,292

=1.9times

g) Payables turnover

19,940,375

11,598,037

=1.7times

h) Inventory turnover 19,940,375 6,239,740 **=** 3.1times PROFITABILITY RATIO ROCE i) <u>7,188,181</u> X 100 32,356,317 =22% Gross profit margin j) 6,380,639 X 100 19,940,375 =30% Net profit margin k) <u>5,502,805</u>x 100 19,940,375 =25% I) Expense percentage

m) Selling and distribution expenses

839,368 x 100

5,839,657

=14%

o) Impairment loss

135,430 x 100

5,893,657

=2%

p) Finance cost

243,233 x 100

19,940,375

=14%

q) Income tax expense

2,135,376 x 100

19,940,375

=11%

r) Expense to sale

839,368 x100

26,321,014

=3%

s) Selling and distribution expenses

```
81,161 x 100
    19,940,375
      =0.4%
 t) Administrative expenses
    1,245,189x 100
    26,321,041
    =19%
SHARE HOLDERS RATIO
 a) EPS
     =10.11kobo
 b) Price earnings ratio
    <u>53.8</u>
    10.11
    =5 times
 c) Earning yield
    <u>10.11</u> x 100
    53.8
    =0.18%
 d) Net asset per share
    46,079,629-312,847
       499,972
    =<del>N</del>91
```

e) Dividend per share

```
=1.07
f) Dividend pay-out
   <u>1.07</u> x 100
   10.11
   =11%
g) Dividend yield
   1.07 x 100
   53.8
   =1.9%
h) Dividend cover
   <u>10.11</u>
   1.07
   =9times
   LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
i) Gearing ratio
   <u>3,389</u>
 29,627,573
   =1.14
j) Total debt to share holders
   2,728,744+13,723,312
      29,627,573
   =0.55
```

<u>7,188,181</u>

k) Fixed interest covered

INTERPRETATION AND COMPARISON FOR INDUSTRIAL SECTOR

- 1) Current ratio: Based on the above computation of the current ratio Beta glass has a better chance of settling its current liabilities than Cutix plc.
- 2) Acid test ratio: they both performed well under this ratio since they met the rule of 1:1, therefore both Cutix plc and Beta glass have the ability to meet their short term debt.
- 3) Receivable collection period: Based on the above ratio computation Cutix plc has a shorter receivable collection period, while Beta glass is longer. This simply shows that Cutix plc collects from their debtors just in time to settle their payables.
- 4) Payables payment period: from the computation of this ratio it shows that Cutix plc collects its receivables in time to settle it payables, while Beta glass does not collect its receivables in time to settle its payables.
- 5) Inventory turnover: based on the above computation Beta glass has a lower inventory turnover and will therefore be able to sell out at a shorter period.
- 6) Receivable turnover: this indicates the number of times it takes receivable to turnover during a period. Therefore, Cutix plc performed better than Beta glass since its higher, and the higher the better.
- 7) Payables turn over: this measures the number of times payables are turned over in a period. The above computation indicates that Beta glass is better, since its lower and the lower the better.
- 8) Inventory turnover: this ratio measures the physical turnover of inventory, from the moment they are purchased to the point they are sold. Cutix plc. Is better because it has a higher inventory turnover. This means that he sells goods at a faster pace as compared to Beta glass.
- 9) ROCE: this ratio measures the profitability of a business. This therefore indicates

that Cutix plc is more profitable because it has a higher return on capital employed.

- 10)Gross profit margin: this ratio shows the average gross profit as a percentage of goods sold. From the above computation Cutix plc has a better percentage than Beta glass.
- 11)Net profit margin: this measures the profitability of a business after taking into account all income and expenses for the period in question. From the above computation Beta glass has a better net profit as a percentage to sales.
- 12) Earnings per share: this ratio measures the amount of PAT and preference dividend attributable to each ordinary shares. Therefore, from the analysis Cutix plc has a better eps ratio than Beta glass.
- 13)Price earnings ratio: this ratio measures the number of years it takes to recoup its shareholders investment. Therefore, Beta glass is better since it takes lesser time to recoup its shareholders investment.
- 14) Earning yield: Cutix plc has a better earning yield than Beta glass and therefore their shareholders are able to recoup a higher investment.
- 15)Net asset per share: this ratio shows the amount of net asset attributable to each ordinary share in issue. Therefore Beta glass has a better ratio than Cutix plc.
- 16) Dividend per share: from the above computation Cutix plc did better since it has the higest dps ratio.

- 17) Dividend pay-out ratio: from the computation above Cutix plc. Has a higher dividend pay-out ratio than Beta glass. This indicates that the shareholders from Cutix plc. Get high pay in form of dividend as compared to Beta glass.
- 18) Dividend yield: this ratio measures the actual return on shareholders' investment.

 Therefore, based on the above computation cutix plc. Is better since it's higher than Beta glass.
- 19) Dividend cover: Beta glass has a higher dividend cover and is therefore better than Cutix plc.
- 20) Gearing ratio: Beta glass is highly geared which is a disadvantage to them, Cutix plc on the other hand is lowly geared which makes it better than Beta glass for that year.
- 21) Total debt to equity: based on the above computation Beta glass is better due to its low ratio which indicates that the company is stable while Cutix plc. has a higher chance of liquidation.
- 22) Fixed interest cover: this ratio measures the number of times fixed interest is covered by profit. Therefore, both Cutix plc and Beta glass have a good chance at obtaing loan from lenders.

RATIO COMPUTATION OF ECO BANK PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

LIQUIDITY RATIO

₩

a) Current ratio\

8,191,180,711

7,520,990,240

=1.18:1

b) Acid test ratio

8,191,180,711-2,797,417

7,520,990,240

=1.08:1

PROFITABILITY RATIO

ROCE

c) <u>218,360,082</u> X 100

1,840,272

=118%

d) Capital employed

22,492,121-20,651,849

=1,840,272

e) Income tax expense

=112,831

SHARE HOLDERS RATIO

a)	EPS
	=1.06kobo
b)	Price earnings ratio
	<u>7.05</u>
	1.06
	=7 times
c)	Earning yield
	<u>1.06</u> x 100
	7.05
	=15%
d)	Net asset per share
	22,582,196
	2,113,957
	= N 10
e)	Dividend per share
	=61.5kobo
f)	Dividend pay-out
	<u>61.5</u> x 100
	1.06
	=58%
g)	Dividend yield
	<u>61.5</u> x 100
	7.05

h) Dividend cover

1.06

61.5

=0.02times

LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO

i) Gearing ratio

702,404

1,812,491

=0.38

i) Total debt to share holders

275,539+20,651,849

1,812,491

=11.5

k) Fixed interest covered

<u>435,977</u>

1,528,410

=0.28

THE RATIO COMPUTATION FOR ASSESS BANK PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

LIQUIDITY RATIO

a) Current ratio\

3,955,872,785

```
3,527,314,852
    =1.12:1
 b) Acid test ratio
    3,955,872,785-1,681,761,862
        3,527,314,852
    =0.64:1
        PROFITABILITY RATIO
    ROCE
 c) <u>75,248,146</u> X 100
    440,799,757
      =17%
 d) Capital employed
    3,968,114,609-3,527,314,852
    =440,799,757
 e) Income tax expense
       =1,651,851
SHARE HOLDERS RATIO
 f) EPS
    =331kobo
 g) Price earnings ratio
    10.05
    331
    =0.03times
```

h)	Earning yield
	<u>331</u> x 100
	10.05
	=32%
i)	Net asset per share
	3,968,114,609
	212,438,802
	= N 18
j)	Dividend per share
	=25.0kobo
k)	Dividend pay-out
	<u>25.0</u> x 100
	331
	=7%
l)	Dividend yield
	<u>25.0</u> x 100
	10.05
	=248%
m)	Dividend cover
	<u>331</u>
	25.0
	=13times
	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
n)	Gearing ratio

```
<u>251,251,383</u>
```

440,799,757

=0.56

o) Total debt to share holders

7,870,360+3,527,314,852

440,799,757

=8

p) Fixed interest covered

75,248,146

128,216,746

=0.58

THE RATIO COMPUTATION AND INTERPRETATION FOR THE FINANCIAL SECTOR:

- 1) Current ratio: based on the above computation both Eco bank and assess bank plc are able to settle their current liabilities.
- 2) Acid test ratio: Eco bank performed better under this ratio as opposed to Assess bank, therefore, eco bank can easily settle its short term debts.
- 3) ROCE: this ratio measures the profitability of a business. This therefore indicates that Eco bank is more profitable because it has a higher return on capital employed.
- 4) Earnings per share: this ratio measures the amount of PAT and preference dividend attributable to each ordinary shares. Therefore, from the analysis Assess bank has a better eps ratio than Eco bank.

- 5) Price earnings ratio: this ratio measures the number of years it takes to recoup its shareholders investment. Therefore, Assess bank is better since it takes lesser time to recoup its shareholders investment, while Eco bank take a longer time.
- 6) Earning yield: Assess bank has a better earning yield than Eco bank and therefore their shareholders are able to recoup a higher investment.
- 7) Net asset per share: this ratio shows the amount of net asset attributable to each ordinary share in issue. Therefore Assess bank has a better ratio than Eco bank.
- 8) Dividend per share: from the above computation eco bank is better because it has a higher dps ratio than assess bank.
- 9) Dividend pay-out ratio: from the computation above Eco bank has a higher dividend pay-out ratio than Mobil Assess bank. This indicates that the shareholders from Eco bank get high pay in form of dividend as compared to Assess bank.
- 10) Dividend yield: this ratio measures the actual return on shareholders' investment. Therefore, based on the above computation Assess bank is better since it has a higher dividend yield as compared to Eco bank.
- 11)Dividend cover: Assess bank has a higher dividend cover and is therefore better than Eco bank.

- 12) Gearing ratio: they both have a lowly geared ratio since they are less than 1 which is good for the companies.
- 13)Total debt to equity: based on the above computation both Assess bank and Eco bank are highly geared and are therefore likely to be solvent.
- 14) Fixed interest cover: this ratio measures the number of times fixed interest is covered by profit. Therefore, both Eco bank and assess bank have a good chance at obtaining loan from their lenders.

HEALTH SECTOR

THE RATIO COMPUTATION OF EKO CORP PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

₩,000

LIQUIDITY RATIO

a) Current ratio

278,129

1,499,792

=0.18:1

b) Acid test ratio

278,129-50,367

1,499,792

=0.15:1

c) Receivable collection period

212,842x 365days

1,472,720

```
=53days
```

d) Payables payment period

1,258,913 x 365days

1,289,095

=357days

e) Inventory turnover period

39,442.5 x 365days

1,289,095

=11days

f) Receivable turn over

1,427,720

212,842

=7times

g) Payables turnover

<u>1,289,095</u>

1,285,095

=1.0times

h) Inventory turnover

1,289,095

39,422.5

= 32.7times

PROFITABILITY RATIO

ROCE

i) (328,468) x 100

```
=10%
    Gross profit margin
j) 183,625X 100
    1,472,720
       =13%
    Net profit margin
k) (328,468)x 100
    1,472,720
     = (22%)
SHARE HOLDERS RATIO
 a) EPS
     =65.88kobo
 b) Price earnings ratio
    <u>4.07</u>
    65.88
    =6times
 c) Earning yield
    65.88 x 100
    4.07
    =16%
 d) Net asset per share
    3,154,672-0
      498,601
```

3,154,672

e)	Dividend per share
	249,301
	498,601
	= N 6
f)	Dividend pay out
	<u>50</u> x 100
	(66)
	=(75%)
g)	Dividend yield
	<u>0.5</u> x 100
	4.07
	=12%
h)	Dividend covered
	<u>66</u>
	50
	=1.3times
	LONG TERM SOLVENCY RATIO
i)	Gearing ratio
	1,298,611
3	3,154,672
	=0.41
j)	Total debt to share holders
	2,798,403

=0.88

THE RATIO COMPUTATION OF GLASKO SMITH PLC STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AND STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS

₩, 000

LIQUIDITY RATIO

a) Current ratio\

13,338,313

6,941,940

=1.92:1

b) Acid test ratio

13,338,313-3,938,707

6,941,940

=1.35:1

c) Receivable collection period

<u>5,240,325</u> x 365days

18,411,475

=104days

d) Payables payment period

6,434,732 x 365days

11,654,657

```
=202days
```

e) Inventory turnover period

3,653,566.5 x 365days

11,654,657

=114days

f) Receivable turn over

18,411,475

5,240,325

=3.5times

g) Payables turnover

<u>11,654,657</u>

6,434,732

=1.8times

h) Inventory turnover

11,654,657

3,653,566.5

= 3times

PROFITABILITY RATIO

ROCE

i) <u>618,389</u> x 100

8,651,191

=7%

Gross profit margin

j) <u>6,756,778</u> X 100

```
18,411,475
      =36.7%
    Net profit margin
 k) <u>1,160,824</u> x 100
    18,411,475
     = 6.3%
SHARE HOLDERS RATIO
 I) EPS
     =52kobo
 m) Price earnings ratio
    <u>6.3</u>
    52
    =12times
 n) Earning yield
    <u>52</u> x 100
    6.3
    =8%
 o) Net asset per share
    3,154,672-0
       249,300
    =₩12.65
 p) Dividend per share
    <u>597,938</u>
    1,195,876
```

q)	Dividend pay out	
	<u>50</u> x 100	
	52	
	=96%	
r)	Dividend yield	
	<u>0.5</u> x 100	
	6.3	
	=8%	
s)	Dividend covered	
	52	
	50	
	=1 time	
LONG	TERM SOLVENCY RATIO	
t)	Gearing ratio	
	1,298,611	
3,154,672		
	=0.41	
u)	Total debt to share holders	
	2,798,403	
3	3,154,672	
	=0.88	

THE RATIO COMPUTATION AND INTERPRETATION FOR THE HEALTH SECTOR.

- Current ratio: Based on the above computation of the current ratio GSK has a better chance of settling its current liabilities than Ekocorp, since GSK its ratio was above the industrial average.
- 2) Acid test ratio: if the inventory from the current asset of Ekocorp is taken out they may find it difficult to meet their short term liabilities, while GSK performed better because their ratio abides by the rule of 1:1.
- 3) Receivable collection period: Based on the above ratio computation Eko corp has a shorter receivable collection period, while GSK is longer. This simply shows that Ekocorp collects from their debtors just in time to settle their payables.
- 4) Payables payment period: from the computation of this ratio it shows that Eko corp collects its recievables in time to settle it payables, while GSK does not collect its recievables in time to settle its payables.
- 5) Inventory turnover: based on the above computation Ekocorp has a lower inventory turnover and will therefore be able to sell out at a shorter period.
- 6) Receivable turnover: this indicates the number of times it takes receivable to turnover during a period. Therefore, Ekocorp performed better than GSK since its higher, and the higher the better.
- 7) Payables turn over: this measures the number of times payables are turned over in a period. The above computation indicates that Ekocorp is better, since its lower and the lower the better.
- 8) Inventory turnover: this ratio measures the physical turnover of inventory, from the moment they are purchased to the point they are sold. Ekocorp is better because it has a higher inventory turnover. This means that he sells goods at a faster pace as compared to GSK.
- 9) ROCE: this ratio measures the profitability of a business. This therefore indicates that Ekocorp is more profitable because it has a higher return on capital employed.

- 10) Gross profit margin: this ratio shows the average gross profit as a percentage of goods sold. From the above computation GSK has a better percentage than Ekocorp.
- 11)Net profit margin: this measures the profitability of a business after taking into account all income and expenses for the period in question. From the above computation Ekocorp has a better net profit as a percentage to sales.
- 12) Earnings per share: this ratio measures the amount of PAT and preference dividend attributable to each ordinary shares. Therefore, from the analysis Ekocorp has a better eps ratio than GSK.
- 13) Price earnings ratio: this ratio measures the number of years it takes to recoup its shareholders investment. Therefore, Ekocorp is better since it takes lesser time to recoup its shareholders investment.
- 14) Earning yield: Ekocorp has a better earning yield than GSK and therefore their shareholders are able to recoup a higher investment.
- 15)Net asset per share: this ratio shows the amount of net asset attributable to each ordinary share in issue. Therefore GSK has a better ratio than Ekocorp.
- 16) Dividend per share: they both perform equally well in this ratio.
- 17) Dividend pay-out ratio: from the computation above GSK has a higher dividend pay-out ratio than Ekocorp. This indicates that the shareholders from GSK get a high pay in form of dividend as compared to Beta glass.

- 18) Dividend yield: this ratio measures the actual return on shareholders' investment. Therefore, based on the above computation Ekocorp Is better since it's higher than GSK.
- 19) Dividend cover: Ekocorp has a higher dividend cover and is therefore better than GSK.
- 20) Gearing ratio: they both performed well under this ratio but GSK performed better than Ekocorp.
- 21)Total debt to equity: based on the above computation GSK is better due to its low ratio which indicates that the company is stable while Ekocorp has a higher chance of liquidation.