NAME: ROTIMI MARY ANUOLUWAPO

**MATRIC NO: 17/SMS02/056** 

TITLE OF TERM PAPER: ACCOUNTING RATIOS

## NAMES OF COMPANIES ANALYZED:

- PORTLAND PAINTS & PRODUCTS
- BERGER PAINTS
- IKEJA HOTEL
- TRANSCORP HILTON PLC
- FIDELITY BANK
- GT BANK
- EKOCORP PLC
- FIDSON PLC
- DANGOTE CEMENT
- LAFARGE PLC
- OANDO PLC
- CONOIL PLC
- CHAMS
- NCR PLC

**Sector: Basic Materials** 

**Companies:** 1). Portland paints & products (2018)

2). Berger Paints Nigeria Plc (2018)

## **Ratios:**

# A). Liquidity Ratios

I). Current Ratio = Current Asset

Current Liabilities

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N1718570 = 2.5:1	$ \mathbf{N}1646124 = 1.3:1$
₩700439	₩1285038

<u>Interpretation:</u> Portland Paints & Products has a higher current ratio than berger paints Nigeria plc this shows that portland paints & products is more capable of meeting its current liabilities.

# II). Quick Asset = <u>Current Asset – Inventory</u>

**Current Liabilities** 

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N 1718570 - N728047 = 1.4:1	N1646124 - N606712 = 0.8:1
₩700439	₩1285038

<u>Interpretation:</u> This show that Portland paints & products is more capable of meeting its current liabilities by converting its most liquid assets to cash.

# III).Receivables Collection Period = <u>Avg. Trade Receivables x 365 days</u> Credit Sales

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
Avg. Trade Receivables =	Avg. Trade Receivables =

$\underline{N476180} + \underline{N406813} = \underline{N441497}$	N190982 + N175390 = N183186
2	2
Receivables Collection Period =	Receivables Collection Period =
N441497 x 365 days = 57 days	$ \mathbb{N}183186 x 365 days = 182 days $
₩2829562	<del>N</del> 377223

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Portland paints & a product has a more favorable receivables collection period because it has a shorter collection period than Berger paints.

# IV).Payables Payment Period = <u>Avg. Trade Payables x 365 days</u> Credit Purchases

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
Avg. Trade Payables =	Avg. Trade Payables =
	M622491 + M557395 =  M589943
2	2
Payables Payment Period =	Payables Payment Period =
N499872 x 365 = 104 days	N58994 3 x 365 = 114 days
₩1753972	₩1896862

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Portland paints & product has a more favorable payables collection period because it has a longer collection period than Berger paints.

# V). Inventory Turnover Period= <u>Avg. Inventory x 365 days</u> Cost of Sales

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
Avg. Inventory=	Avg. Inventory=
N (728047 + 900430) = N814239	$\frac{\mathbb{N}(606712 + 574991)}{\mathbb{N}(606712 + 574991)} = \frac{\mathbb{N}590852}{\mathbb{N}}$
2	2

Inventory Turnover Period =	Inventory Turnover Period =
$\frac{N814239 \times 365}{N814239 \times 365} = 169 \text{ days}$	$ \text{$M590852}$ \ x 365 = 114 \text{ days} $
<del>N</del> 1753972	<del>¥</del> 1896862

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Berger paints has a more favorable inventory turnover period because it has a shorter turnover period than Portland paints & products.

# VI). Receivables Turnover = <u>Credit Sales</u>

Avg. Receivables

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N2829562 = 6.4 times	N377223 = 2.1  times
<del>N</del> 441497	₩183186

<u>Interpretation</u>: This show that Portland paints & products has a more favorable receivables turnover ratio because it is higher than Berger paints's ratio. It also indicates that Portland paints & products has a higher proportion of customers that pay their debts on time.

VII). Payables Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Credit Purchases}}$ 

Avg. payables

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
<u>N1753972</u> =4times	N1896862 = 3.2 time
<del>N</del> 499872	<del>N</del> 589943

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Portland paints & products has a more favorable payables turnover ratio because it is higher than Berger paints. It also indicates that Portland paints & products makes prompt payment to suppliers for purchases made on credit.

VIII). Inventory Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Cost of Sales}}$ 

Avg. Inventory

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N1753972 = 2.2  times	N1896862 = 3.2 times
₩814239	₩590852

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Portland paints & products has a more favorable inventory turnover ratio because it is lower than Berger paints's ratio. A lower Inventory turnover ratio is more desirable than a higher value.

# B). Efficiency Ratios:

I). Return on Capital Employed =  $\underline{PBI}$  x 100 Capital Employed

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N307533 x 100 = 20%	
<del>N</del> 1551029	<del>N</del> 3250261

<u>Interpretation:</u> Portland paints & products has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher than Berger paints. It also indicates that Portland paints & products uses its capital more efficiently.

II). Gross Profit Margin = 
$$\frac{\text{Gross Profit}}{\text{Sales}}$$
 x 100

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N1075290 x 100 = 38%	$ \mathbf{N}1480361 x 100 = 44%$
<b>№</b> 2829262	<del>N</del> 3377223

<u>Interpretation:</u> Berger paints has a more favorable gross profit margin because it is higher than Portland paints & products. It also indicates that Berger paints makes reasonable profit on sales.

III).Net Profit Margin =  $\frac{\text{Net Profit}}{\text{Sales}} \times 100$ 

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
\$N\$ 206693  x  100 = 7%	N320509 x 100 = 9%
₩2829262	₩3377223

<u>Interpretation:</u> Berger paints has a more favorable net profit margin because it is higher than Portland paints & products.

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
Selling & Distribution Expenses=	Selling & Distribution Expenses=
<u><del>N</del>264619</u> x 100 =32%	N237375 x 100 = 22%
<del>N</del> 816502	<del>N</del> 1066984
Administrative Expenses =	Administrative Expenses=
<u>₩551883</u> x 100 =68%	<u>₩829609</u> x 100= 78%
₩816502	<b>№</b> 1066984

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Berger paints has a more favorable selling and distribution expenses percentage because it is lower than Portland paints & products. For administrative expenses it shows that Portland paints & products's percentage is more favorable because it is lower than Berger paints's percentage.

# V). Expenses to Sales = $\underline{\text{Individual Expenses}} \times 100$ Sales

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
Selling & Distribution Expenses=	Selling & Distribution Expenses=
$ \mathbb{N}264619 \ \text{x} \ 100 = 9\% $	N237375 x 100 = 7%
<del>N</del> 2829262	<del>N</del> 3377223
Administrative Expenses	Administrative Expenses=
\$M\$551883  x  100 = 20%	<u>₩829609</u> x 100= 24%
<del>N</del> 2829262	₩3377223

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Berger paints has a more favorable selling and distribution expenses to sales percentage because it is lower than Portland paints & products. For administrative expenses it shows that Portland paints & products's percentage is more favorable because it is lower than Berger paints' percentage.

#### C). Investor's Ratios:

793416shares

I). Earnings per Share: <u>PAT – Preference Dividend</u>
No. of Ordinary Share

289823447shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: Berger paints has a more favorable EPS ratio because it is higher than Portland paints & products' ratio.

II). Price Earnings Ratio =  $\underline{MPS}$  EPS

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
$ \text{\text{$\exitt{$\exitt{$\text{$\exitt{$\text{$\}}}$}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	$\underline{\$8.60} = 7.7 \text{ years}$
<del>N</del> 0.26	₩1.11

<u>Interpretation</u>: Portland Paints & Products has a more favorable P/E ratio because it is higher than Berger Paints Nigeria Plc .

III). Earnings Yield =  $\underline{EPS}$  x100

**MPS** 

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N0.26 x100 = 9%	$ \mathbf{N}1.11 x100 = 13%$
<b>№</b> 2.80	<del>N</del> 8.60

<u>Interpretation:</u> Berger Paints Nigeria Plc has a more favorable earnings yield because it is higher than Portland Paints & Products.

IV). Net Asset per Share =  $\underline{\text{Net Assets} - \text{Preference Capital}}$ 

No. of Ordinary Share

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
$\frac{\text{N}1536981 - 0}{\text{N}1.94/\text{share}} = \frac{\text{N}1.94/\text{share}}{\text{N}1.94/\text{share}}$	N2813052000 - 0 = N9.71/Share
793416shares	289823447shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: Berger Paints Nigeria Plc has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher than Portland Paints & Products.

V). Dividend per Share =  $\underline{Gross\ Dividend}$ 

No. of Ordinary Share

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
No_Dividend	$\frac{N1449122000}{N1449122000} = \frac{N5}{share}$
	289823447shares

Interpretation: This shows the value of dividend per share of Berger Paints Nigeria Plc

VI). Dividend Pay-Out Ratio =  $\underline{DPS}$  x 100

**EPS** 

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
No Dividend	$ \mathbf{N5} x 100 = 450%$
	<u>N</u> 1 11

<u>Interpretation</u>: Berger Paints Nigeria Plc dividend payout ratio indicates that the company is paying out more dividends than its earnings.

VII). Dividend Yield = 
$$\underline{DPS}$$
 x 100

**MPS** 

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
No Dividend	N 5 x 100 = 179%
	₩2.80

<u>Interpretation:</u> Berger Paints Nigeria Plc has a high Dividend yield percentage.

VIII). Dividend Cover = EPS

**DPS** 

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
No Dividend	<u>₩1.11</u> =0.22times
	<del>N</del> 5

<u>Interpretation:</u> The Dividend Cover for Berger Paints Nigeria Plc is not considered to be healthy because it is below 1.5. This means that the company is paying a large proportion of its earnings as dividend.

## D). Stability Ratios:

I). Gearing Ratio = Non - Current Liabilities + Preference Capital x 100

Capital Employed

Portland Paints & Products

Berger Paints Nigeria Plc

9

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that both companies are not highly geared. Portland Paints & Products is less risky since its gearing ratio is lower.

#### II). Fixed Interest Cover = PBIT

Fixed Interest

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
$ \underline{\$100840} $ = 9.3 times	
<del>№</del> 10901	₩19160

<u>Interpretation:</u> Berger Paints Nigeria Plc has a more favorable Fixed Interest Cover.

# III). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds = $\underline{\text{Non-Current liabilities}} \times 100$ Equity

Portland Paints & Products	Berger Paints Nigeria Plc
N14048 + N700439 x 100 = 46%	N437209 + N1285038 x 100 = 61%
₩1536981	<del>N</del> 2813052

<u>Interpretation:</u> Portland Paints & Products's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable than Berger Paints Nigeria Plc which indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.

**SECTOR: Consumer Goods** 

**COMPANIES: 1). Guinness Nigeria** 

2). Nigeria Breweries

#### **Ratios:**

## A). Liquidity Ratios:

I). Current Ratio = Current Asset

## **Current Liabilities**

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N54610047 = 1.3:1	
<del>N</del> 42847115	₩140383143

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Guinness Nigeria is more capable to meet its current liability obligations than Nigeria Breweries because it has a higher and ideal current ratio.

II). Quick Asset = 
$$\underline{\text{Current Asset} - \text{Inventory}}$$
  
Current Liabilities

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N54610047 - N19032362 = 0.8:1 times	<u>N86282924 - N32506824</u> =0.4:1
<del>N</del> 42847115	<del>N</del> 140383143

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Guinness Nigeria is more capable to meet its current liability obligations by converting its liquid assets to cash than Nigeria Breweries because it has a higher even though it's not an ideal quick asset ratio.

# III).Receivables Collection Period = <u>Avg. Trade Receivables x 365 days</u> Credit Sales

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
Avg. Trade Receivables=	Avg. Trade Receivables=
N23890304 + N22966508 = N23428406	$\frac{\$35153451 + \$20384112}{\$27768782} = \$27768782$
2	2
Receivables Collection Period =	Receivables Collection Period =
N23428406 x 365 = 60 days	N27768782 x 365 = 30 days
	111

₩142975792 ₩350226472

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Nigeria Breweries has a more favorable receivables collection period because it is shorter than that of Guinness Nigeria.

# IV).Payables Payment Period = <u>Avg. Trade Payables x 365 days</u> Credit Purchases

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
Avg. Trade Payables =	Avg. Trade Payables =
N31175725 + N43025618 = N37100672	<u>N114881134 + N128646043</u> = N121763589
2	2
Payables Payment Period =	Payables Payment Period =
<u>N37100672</u> x 365 days=144days	<u>N121763589</u> x 365 days =137days
<del>N</del> 94350387	<del>N</del> 324388500

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Guinness Nigeria has a more favorable payables collection period because it is longer than that of Nigeria Breweries Plc.

V). Inventory Turnover Period= <u>Avg. Inventory</u> x 365 days

Cost of Sales

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
Avg. Inventory=	Avg. Inventory=
2	2
Inventory Turnover Period =	Inventory Turnover Period =
N21063431 x 365 = 81 days	$ N37617843 \times 365 = 42 \text{ days} $
₩94350387	₩324388500
	12

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Nigeria Breweries has a more favorable inventory turnover period because it is shorter than that of Guinness Nigeria.

# VI). Receivables Turnover = <u>Credit Sales</u>

Avg. Receivables

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N142975792 = 6.1  times	$\frac{\text{N}35022647}{\text{N}35022647} = 1.3 \text{ times}$
₩23428406	<del>N</del> 27768782

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Guinness Nigeria has a more favorable receivable turnover ratio because it is higher than that of Nigeria Breweries, which indicates that Guinness Nigeria has a higher proportion of customers that pay their debts on time.

# VII). Payables Turnover = $\underline{\text{Credit Purchases}}$

Avg. payables

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N94350387 = 2.5 times	<u>N324388500</u> = 2.7times
₩37100672	<del>N</del> 121763589

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Nigeria Breweries Plc has a more favorable payables turnover ratio because it is higher than Guinness Nigeria Plc.

VIII).Inventory Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Cost of Sales}}$ 

Avg. Inventory

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
1	3

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Guinness Nigeria Plc has a more favorable inventory turnover ratio because it is shorter than Nigeria Breweries Plc. A shorter ratio is more desirable.

## **B). Efficiency Ratios:**

I). Return on Capital Employed = 
$$\underline{PBIT}$$
 x 100 Capital Employed

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N9943164 x 100 = 9%	<u>\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\exittit{\$\text{\$\exittitt{\$\text{\$}\exittity}}}\$}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}</u>
₩110407853	<del>N</del> 248383173

<u>Interpretation</u>: Nigeria Breweries Plc has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher than Guinness Nigeria Plc. It also indicates that Nigeria Breweries Plc uses its capital more efficiently.

II).Gross Profit Margin = 
$$\frac{\text{Gross Profit}}{\text{Sales}} \times 100$$

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N48625405 x 100 = 34%	<u>\mathref{N}126903806</u> x 100 = 36%
₩142975792	<del>N</del> 350226472

ı

<u>Interpretation</u>: Nigeria Breweries Plc has a more favorable gross profit margin because it is higher than Guinness Nigeria Plc.

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N6717605 x 100 = 5%	$ N29359828 \times 100 = 8\% $
<b>№</b> 142975792	₩350226472

<u>Interpretation:</u> Nigeria Breweries Plc has a more favorable net profit margin because it is higher than Guinness Nigeria Plc.

IV).Expenses % = Individual Expenses x 100

Total Expense

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
Marketing and Distribution Expenses =	Marketing and Distribution Expenses =
$ N26012074 \times 100 = 72\% $	<u>\mathbb{N}70052363</u> x 100 =77%
<del>N</del> 35907520	<del>N</del> 90832372
Administrative Expense =	Administrative Expense =
N9895446 x 100 = 28%	$ \mathbb{N}20780009 \text{ x } 100 = 23\% $
<del>N</del> 35907520	<del>N</del> 90832372

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Guinness Nigeria Plc has a more favorable marketing and distribution expenses percentage because it is lower than Nigeria Breweries Plc. For administrative expenses Nigeria Breweries Plc 's percentage is more favorable because it is lower than Guinness Nigeria Plc.

# V). Expenses to Sales = $\underline{\text{Individual Expenses}} \times 100$ Sales

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
Marketing and Distribution Expenses =	Marketing and Distribution Expenses =
<u>¥26012074</u> x 100 =18%	$ \mathbb{N}70052363 \text{ x } 100 = 20\% $
<b>№</b> 142975792	₩350226472

Administrative Expense = Administrative Expense =  $\frac{\$9895446}{\$142975792}$  x  $\frac{100}{\$142975792}$  x  $\frac{100}{\$142975792}$ 

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Guinness Nigeria Plc has a more favorable marketing and distribution expenses to sales percentage because it is lower than Nigeria Breweries Plc. For administrative expenses Guinness Nigeria Plc's percentage is also more favorable because it is lower than Nigeria Breweries Plc's percentage.

#### C). Investor's Ratios:

I). Earnings per Share =  $\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$ No. of Ordinary Share

Guinness Nigeria	Nigeria Breweries
$\frac{\text{N}6717605 - 0}{\text{N}6717605} = \frac{\text{N}3.30}{\text{share}}$	
2034731shares	7996902shares

<u>Interpretation:</u> Guinness Nigeria has a more favourable EPS ratio because it is higher than Nigeria Breweries's ratio.

II). Price Earnings Ratio =  $\underline{MPS}$ 

**EPS** 

Guinness Nigeria	Nigeria Breweries
NO MPS	NO MPS

III). Earnings Yield = EPS

**MPS** 

Guinness Nigeria Nigeria Breweries

NO MPS

# IV). Net Asset per Share = $\underbrace{\text{Net Assets} - \text{Preference Capital}}_{\text{No. of Ordinary Share}}$

Guinness Nigeria	Nigeria Breweries
N87588174 - 0 = N43/share	
2034731shares	7996702shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: Guinness Nigeria has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher than Nigeria Breweries's value.

# V). Dividend per Share $\underline{= Gross\ Dividend}$ No. of Ordinary Share

Guinness Nigeria	Nigeria Breweries
N963768 = N0.5/share	
2034731shares	7996702shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows the value of dividend per share of Guinness Nigeria and Nigeria Breweries. Nigeria Breweries has a more favorable DPS.

VI). Dividend Pay-Out Ratio = 
$$\underline{DPS \ x}$$
 100 EPS

Guinness Nigeria	Nigeria Breweries
N0.5 x 100 = 15%	N3.7 x 100 = 152%
N3.30	<del>N</del> 2.43

<u>Interpretation:</u> Nigeria Breweries dividend payout ratio indicates that the company is paying out more dividends than its earnings. While Guinness Nigeria's dividend payout ratio is a low ratio indicating a more stable ratio.

VII). Dividend Yield = 
$$\underline{DPS \ x}$$
 100 MPS

Guinness Nigeria	Nigeria Breweries
NO MPS	NO MPS

VIII). Dividend Cover = 
$$\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$$
  
Total Dividend

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N6717605 - 0 = 7  times	$\frac{\mathbf{N}19401169 - 0}{\mathbf{N}} = 0.7 \text{ times}$
<del>N</del> 963768	<del>¥</del> 29828444

<u>Interpretation:</u> The Dividend Cover for Guinness Nigeria Plc is considered to be healthier than that of Nigeria Breweries Plc.

## D). Stability Ratios:

# I). Gearing Ratio = $\underline{\text{Non -Current Liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Preference Capital}} \times 100$ Capital Employed

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
$\underline{\$22819679 + 0} \times 100 = 26\%$	$\underline{81738989 + 0} \times 100 = 33\%$
<del>N</del> 87588174	₩248383173

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that both companies are not highly geared. They are not considered to be of low risk but Guinness Nigeria Plc gearing ratio is lower which is more favorable.

## II). Fixed Interest Cover = $\underline{PBIT}$

#### Fixed Interest

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
N9943164 = 1.8 times	N29359828 = 3.7 times
<del>N</del> 5644560	<del>N</del> 7958893

Interpretation: Nigeria Breweries Plc has a more favorable Fixed Interest Cover.

III). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds = Non- Current liabilities + Current Liabilities x 100

Equity

Guinness Nigeria Plc	Nigeria Breweries Plc
$N22819679 + N42847115 \times 100 = 75\%$	<del>N</del> 81738989 + <del>N</del> 140383143 x 100 = 133%
₩87588174	<del>N</del> 166644184

<u>Interpretation:</u> Guinness Nigeria Plc's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable. It indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.

SECTOR: Consumer Services COMPANIES: 1). Ikeja Hotel

2).Transcorp Hotel

#### **Ratios:**

#### A). Liquidity Ratios

I). Current Ratio = <u>Current Asset</u> Current Liabilities

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
N4051588 = 0.6:1	N5722247 = 0.3:1
₩6754209	₩19621972

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Ikeja hotel is more capable to meet its current liability obligations than Transcorp hotel because it has a higher, even though it's not an ideal current ratio.

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
$\underline{N4051588} - \underline{N55333} = 0.6:1$	+ 5722247 - + 526851 = 0.3:1
<del>N</del> 6754209	₩19621972

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ikeja hotel is more capable to meet its current liability obligations by converting its liquid assets to cash than Transcorp hotel because it has a higher even though it's not an ideal quick asset ratio.

III).Receivables Collection Period = <u>Avg. Trade Receivables</u> x 365 days

Credit Sales

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
Avg. Trade Receivables=	Avg. Trade Receivables=
N770733 + N671749 = N721241	N2051882 + N4066555 = N3059219
2	2
Receivables Collection Period=	Receivables Collection Period=
N721241 x 365 days = 36 days	
<del>N</del> 7290231	N 16475720 ₩16475720

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ikeja hotel has a more favorable receivables collection period because it has a shorter collection period than Transcorp hotel.

IV).Payables Payment Period = <u>Avg. Trade Payables</u> x 365 days

## **Credit Purchases**

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
Avg. Trade Payables=	Avg. Trade Payables=
N1252102 + N1113701 = N1182902	
2	2
Payables Payment Period=	Payables Payment Period=
N1182902  x 365 days = 92days	$ N5735319 \times 365 \text{ days} = 494 \text{ days} $
<del>N</del> 4670742	<del>N4</del> 233787

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Transcorp hotel has a more favorable payables collection period because it is longer than that of Ikeja hotel.

# V). Inventory Turnover Period= <u>Avg. Inventory</u> x 365 days Cost of sales

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
Avg. Inventory=	Avg. Inventory=
<u>N55333 + N266695</u> = N161014	<u>N526851 + N666150</u> =N596501
2	2
Inventory Turnover Period=	Inventory Turnover Period=
N161014 x 365 days = 13 days	\$M596501\$ x 365 days = 51 days
<del>N</del> 4670742	<del>N</del> 4233787

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ikeja Hotel has a more favorable inventory turnover period because it is shorter than that of Transcorp Hotel.

# VI). Receivables Turnover = <u>Credit Sales</u>

Avg. Receivables

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
<u>₩7290231</u> =10times	N16475720 = 5  times
₩721241	₩3059219

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ikeja hotel has a more favorable receivable turnover ratio because it is higher than that of Transcorp hotel, which indicates that Ikeja hotel has a higher proportion of customers that pay their debts on time.

# VII). Payables Turnover = <u>Credit Purchases</u>

Avg. payables

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
·	-
N4670742 = 39 times	<u>N4233787</u> =0.7times
<b>№</b> 118290	<del>N</del> 5735319

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ikeja hotel has a more favorable payables turnover ratio because it is higher than Transcorp hotel.

VIII). Inventory Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Cost of Sales}}$ 

Avg. Inventory

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
N4670742 = 29 times	<u>N4233787</u> =7times
<del>N</del> 161014	<del>N</del> 596501

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Transcorp hotel has a more favorable inventory turnover ratio because it is shorter than Ikeja Hotel. A shorter ratio is more desirable.

## B). Efficiency Ratios:

I). Return on Capital Employed =  $\underline{PBIT}$  x 100

Capital Employed

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
•	
<u>N827273</u> x 100 = 4%	<del>N</del> 5187367 x 100=6%
<del>№</del> 17854901	<del>N</del> 89163718

<u>Interpretation:</u> Transcorp hotel has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher than Ikeja hotel. It also indicates that Transcorp hotel uses its capital more efficiently.

II).Gross Profit Margin = 
$$\frac{\text{Gross Profit}}{\text{Sales}} \times 100$$

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
	_
$ N2619489 \times 100 = 40\% $	<u>₩12241933</u> x 100 =74%
¥7290231	¥16475720

<u>Interpretation:</u> Transcorp hotel has a more favorable gross profit margin because it is higher than Ikeja hotel.

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
<u>N677034</u> x 100 = 9%	₩3876300 x 100 =24%

₩7290231 ₩16475720

<u>Interpretation:</u> Transcorp hotel has a more favorable net profit margin because it is higher than Ikeja hotel.

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
Sales and Marketing Expenses=	
$№236584 \times 100 = 19\%$ №1219990	
Administrative Expenses=	
№983406 x 100 =81% №1219990	

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Ikeja hotel sales and marketing expenses percentage is low while its administrative expenses s percentage is higher.

V). Expenses to Sales = 
$$\underline{\text{Individual Expenses}} \times 100$$
  
Sales

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
Sales and Marketing Expenses=	Sales and Marketing Expenses=
N236584 x 100 = 3%	No Sales and Marketing Expenses
₩7290231	
Administrative Expenses=	Administrative Expenses=
<u>₩983406</u> x 100 =13%	<u>₩7835534</u> x 100 =48%
<del>N</del> 7290231	<del>N</del> 16475720

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Ikeja hotel marketing and distribution expenses to sales percentage is low. For administrative expenses Ikeja hotel's percentage is more favorable because it is lower than Transcorp hotel's percentage.

# C). Investor's Ratios:

I). Earnings per Share =  $\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$ No. of Ordinary Share

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
N677034 - 0 = N0.33/share	N3876300000 - 0 = N0.51/share
2078796399shares	7600403900shares

<u>Interpretation:</u> Transcorp hotel has a more favorable EPS ratio because it is higher than Ikeja hotel's ratio.

II). Price Earnings Ratio =  $\underline{MPS}$ 

**EPS** 

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
NO MPS	NO MPS

III). Earnings Yield = EPS

MPS

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
-	-
NO MPS	NO MPS

IV). Net Asset per Share =  $\underbrace{\text{Net Assets} - \text{Preference Capital}}_{\text{No. of Ordinary Share}}$ 

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
$\frac{18102939000 - 0}{1} = \frac{184}{\text{share}}$	$\frac{1}{1}$ 57637528000 - 0 = $\frac{1}{1}$ 8/share
2078796399shares	7600403900shares

<u>Interpretation:</u> Transcorp hotel has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher than Ikeja hotel's value.

# V). Dividend per Share = Gross Dividend

No. of Ordinary Share

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
No Dividend	
	7600403900shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows the value of dividend per share of Transcorp hotel.

VI). Dividend Pay-Out Ratio = 
$$\underline{DPS}$$
 x 100

**EPS** 

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
No Dividend	$ N0.15 \times 100 = 29\% $
	<del>N</del> 0.51

<u>Interpretation:</u> Transcorp Hotel's dividend payout ratio indicates a stable ratio, since it's not above 100%

VII). Dividend Yield = 
$$\underline{DPS}$$
 x 100

**MPS** 

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
NO MPS	NO MPS

VIII). Dividend Cover = 
$$\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$$
Total Dividend

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
No Dividend	$\frac{N}{3876300000 - 0} = 3.4 \text{times}$
	<del>№</del> 1140060585

<u>Interpretation:</u> The Dividend Cover for Transcorp hotel is considered to be healthy.

# D). Stability Ratios:

I). Gearing Ratio = Non -Current Liabilities + Preference Capital x 100

Capital Employed

Ikeja Hotel	Transcorp Hotel
$\frac{\text{N9751962} + 0}{\text{N9751962} + 0} \times 100 = 55\%$	<u>N31526190</u> x 100 =55%
₩17854901	<del>N</del> 57637528

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that both companies are highly geared.

II). Fixed Interest Cover =  $\underline{PBIT}$ 

Fixed Interest

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>	Transcorp Hotel
N827273 = 0.5 times	No fixed Interest
<b>№</b> 1544299	

<u>Interpretation</u>: Ikeja Hotel has a low Fixed Interest Cover, this shows that the company is not generating enough revenue to satisfy interest expenses.

III). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds =  $\underline{\text{Non-Current liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Current Liabilities}} \times 100$ Equity

<u>Ikeja Hotel</u>

Transcorp Hotel

<u>Interpretation:</u> Transcorp hotel's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable. It indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.

**SECTOR:** Financials

**COMPANIES: 1). Fidelity Bank Plc** 

2).GT Bank Plc

# A). Efficiency Ratios:

I). Return on Capital Employed =  $\underline{PBIT}_x$  100

Capital Employed

Fidelity Bank	GT Bank
<u>¥25089</u> x 100=13%	$ \mathbf{N}190209286 x 100 = 37%$
₩194416	₩511842259

<u>Interpretation:</u> GT Bank has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher. It also indicates that GT Bank uses its capital more efficiently.

II). Gross Profit Margin =  $\underline{Gross Profit} \times 100$ 

Sales

Fidelity Bank	GT Bank
<u>N69587</u> x 100= 37%	No Gross Earnings
<b>№</b> 188873	

Interpretation: Fidelity Bank has a high gross profit margin.

III).Net Profit Margin = Net Profit x 100
Sales

Fidelity Bank	GT Bank
<u>N22926</u> x 100=12%	No Gross Earnings
<del>N</del> 188873	

Interpretation: Fidelity Bank has a high gross profit margin

## B). Investor's Ratios:

I). Earnings per Share = PAT – Preference Dividend

No. of Ordinary Share

Fidelity Bank	GT Bank
N22926 = N0.79/share	$\frac{1}{1}$ = $\frac{1}{1}$ = $\frac{1}{1}$ 5.67/share
28963shares	29431179shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: GT Bank has a more favorable EPS ratio because it is higher.

II). Net Asset per Share =  $\underline{\text{Net Assets}} - \underline{\text{Preference Capital}}$ No. of Ordinary Share

<u>Fidelity Bank</u>	GT Bank
•	
$ \underline{\mathbf{N}}194416 = \mathbf{N}6.7/\text{share} $	<u>₩511842259=</u> ₩17.3/share
28963shares	29431179shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: GT Bank has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher

III). Dividend per Share =  $\underline{Gross\ Dividend}$ 

No. of Ordinary Share

Fidelity Bank GT Bank

<u>N79464184</u> = <u>N2.7/share</u>

29431179shares

<u>Interpretation:</u> The DPS for GT Bank is ₩2.7/share

# C). Stability Ratios:

I). Fixed Interest Cover = PBIT

Fixed Interest

Fidelity Bank	GT Bank
N25089 = 0.3  times	<u>₩190209286</u> =2.7times
<del>N</del> 84059	<del>N</del> 69569079

<u>Interpretation:</u> Fidelity Bank has a low Fixed Interest Cover, this shows that the company is not generating enough revenue to satisfy interest expenses.

II). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds =  $\underline{\text{Non-Current liabilities}} \times 100$ Equity

Fidelity	GT Bank
$ N1525467 \times 100 = 785\% $	$ N2200679235 \times 100 = 430\% $
<del>N</del> 194416	<del>N</del> 511842259

<u>Interpretation:</u> GT Bank's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable. It indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.

**SECTOR:** Healthcare

**COMPANIES: 1). Ekocorp Plc** 

2).Fidson Healthcare Plc

#### **Ratios:**

# A). Liquidity Ratios

I). Current Ratio = <u>Current Asset</u>

**Current Liabilities** 

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
$ \text{$\frac{1}{2}}$ 278129 = 0.2:1$	<u>₩7575483</u> =0.7:1
₩1499792	<del>N</del> 10535885

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Fidson Healthcare Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations than Ekocorp Plc because it has a higher, even though it's not an ideal current ratio.

# II). Quick Asset = <u>Current Asset – Inventory</u> Current Liabilities

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
N278129 - N50367 = 1.5:1	
<b>№</b> 149979	<del>N</del> 10535885

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ekocorp Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations by converting its liquid assets to cash than Fidson Healthcare Plc because it has a higher even though it's not an ideal quick asset ratio.

# III).Receivables Collection Period = <u>Avg. Trade Receivables</u> x 365 days Credit Sales

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
Avg. Trade Receivables=	Avg. Trade Receivables=
N212842 + N163353 = N188098	
2	2

Receivables Collection Period=	Receivables Collection Period=
N188098 x365 days = 47 days	N2315881 x365 days = 52 days
<b>№</b> 1472720	₩16229903

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ekocorp Plc has a more favorable receivables collection period because it has a shorter collection period than Fidson Healthcare Plc.

IV). Payables Payment Period = 
$$\underline{\text{Avg. Trade Payables}}$$
 x 365 days Credit Purchases

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
Avg. Trade Payables=	Avg. Trade Payables=
N1258913 + N1150367 =  1204640	N3682712 + N3637147 = N7319859
2	2
Payables Payment Period=	Payables Payment Period=
$ \mathbb{N}1204640 x 365 days = 341 days $	
<del>N</del> 1289095	<del>N</del> 9910219

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ekocorp Plc has a more favorable payables collection period because it is longer than that of Fidson Healthcare Plc.

V). Inventory Turnover Period= 
$$\underline{\text{Avg. Inventory}}$$
 x 365 days Cost of Sales

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
Avg. Inventory=	Avg. Inventory=
N50367 + N28478 = N39423	N2875133 + N1756629 = N4631762
2	2
Inventory Turnover Period=	Inventory Turnover Period=
<u>№39423</u> x 365 days = 11days	$44631762 \times 365 \text{ days} = 171 \text{ days}$

₩1289095 ₩9910219

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Ekocorp Plc has a more favorable inventory turnover period because it is shorter than that of Fidson Healthcare Plc.

# VI). Receivables Turnover <u>= Credit Sales</u>

Avg. Receivables

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
<u>№1472720</u> = 8times	<u>₩16229903</u> =7times
<b>№</b> 188098	<del>N</del> 2315881

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Ekocorp Plc has a more favorable receivable turnover ratio because it is higher than that of Fidson Healthcare Plc ,which indicates that Ekocorp Plc has a higher proportion of customers that pay their debts on time.

#### VII). Payables Turnover = <u>Credit Purchases</u>

Avg. payables

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
<u>№1289095</u> =1.1times	
₩1204640	<del>N</del> 7319859

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Fidson Healthcare Plc has a more favorable payables turnover ratio because it is higher than Ekocorp Plc.

VIII).Inventory Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Cost of Sales}}$ 

Avg. Inventory

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
<u>№1289095</u> =33times	<u>N9910219</u> =2times
₩39423	<del>N</del> 4631762

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Fidson Healthcare Plc has a more favorable inventory turnover ratio because it is shorter than Ekocorp Plc. A shorter ratio is more desirable.

## **B). Efficiency Ratios:**

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
$ \text{\text{$\exitt{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\exittit{$\text{$\tint{$\text{$\exittinx{$\text{$\}}}}}}}}}}}}} \end{\end{\end{\end{\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\exittit{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	$ \mathbf{x}160867 x 100 = 2%$
<del>N</del> 4453283	₩9947440

<u>Interpretation:</u> Ekocorp Plc has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher than Fidson Healthcare Plc. It also indicates that Ekocorp Plc uses its capital more efficiently.

II). Gross Profit Margin =  $\underline{\text{Gross Profit}} \times 100$ 

Sales

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
N183625 x 100 = 12%	<u>№6319684</u> x 100 =39%
<del>N</del> 1472720	₩16229903

<u>Interpretation:</u> Fidson Healthcare Plc has a more favorable gross profit margin because it is higher than Ekocorp Plc.

III).Net Profit Margin = Net Profit x 100

Sales

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
$ N214651 \times 100 = 15\% $	
₩1472720	<b>№</b> 16229903

<u>Interpretation</u>: has a more Ekocorp Plc favorable net profit margin because it is higher than Fidson Healthcare Plc.

IV).Expenses % = Individual Expenses x 100

Total Expense

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
No Administrative and Selling Expenses	Administrative and Selling Expenses=
	$ \mathbf{N}2614354 x 100 = 58% $
	<del>N</del> 4519684
No Selling and Distribution Expenses	Selling and Distribution Expenses=
	<u>№1905330</u> x 100=42%
	<del>N</del> 4519684

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Fidson Healthcare Plc selling and marketing expenses percentage is lower while its administrative selling and expenses 's percentage is higher.

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
Operating Expense=	Administrative and Selling Expenses=
<u>₩518904</u> x 100 =35%	<u>₩2614354</u> x 100= 16%
<b>№</b> 1472720	<b>№</b> 16229903
	Selling and Distribution Expenses=
	<u>₩1905330</u> x 100=12%
	₩16229903

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Fidson Healthcare Plc selling and marketing expenses to sales and administrative selling and expenses percentage to sales is lower than that of Ekocorp Plc 's Operating Expense percentage to sales.

## C). Investor's Ratios:

I). Earnings per Share =  $\underline{PAT} - \underline{Preference\ Dividend}$ 

No. of Ordinary Share

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
N328468 - 0 = N0.66/share	
498601shares	150000000shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: Ekocorp Plc has a more favorable EPS ratio because it is higher than Fidson Healthcare Plc's ratio.

II). Price Earnings Ratio =  $\underline{MPS}$ 

**EPS** 

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
No MPS	No MPS

III). Earnings Yield =  $\overline{EPS}$ 

**MPS** 

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
No MPS	No MPS

IV). Net Asset per Share =  $\underline{\text{Net Assets} - \text{Preference Capital}}$ No. of Ordinary Share

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
N315467 - 0 = N0.6/share	
498601 shares	1500000shares

<u>Interpretation:</u> Fidson Healthcare Plc has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher than Ekocorp Plc's value.

## V). Dividend per Share = $\underline{Gross\ Dividend}$

No. of Ordinary Share

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
No Dividend	N225000 = N0.15/share
	1500000shares

Interpretation: This shows the value of dividend per share of Fidson Healthcare Plc

VI). Dividend Pay-Out Ratio = 
$$\underline{DPS} \times 100$$

**EPS** 

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
No Dividend	N0.15 x 100 = 250%
	<del>N</del> 0.06

<u>Interpretation:</u> Fidson Healthcare Plc dividend payout ratio indicates that the company is paying out more dividends than its earnings.

VII). Dividend Yield = 
$$\underline{DPS} \times 100$$
MPS

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
No MPS	No MPS

VIII). Dividend Cover = 
$$\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$$
  
Total Dividend

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
No Dividend	N97447 - 0 = 0.43  times
	<u>₩</u> 225000

Interpretation: The Dividend Cover for Fidson Healthcare Plc is considered not healthy

## D). Stability Ratios:

I). Gearing Ratio = 
$$\underline{\text{Non -Current Liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Preference Capital}} \times 100$$
Capital Employed

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
N1298611 + 0 x 100 = 29%	N2793659 + 0  x  100 = 28%
<del>N</del> 4453283	<del>N</del> 9947440

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that both companies are not highly geared.

## II). Fixed Interest Cover = $\underline{PBIT}$

Fixed Interest

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
$ \underline{\text{N}225735} = 20 \text{ times} $	N2793659 = 1.4 times
<del>N</del> 11084	<del>N</del> 1952002

<u>Interpretation:</u> Fidson Healthcare Plc has a low Fixed Interest Cover, this shows that the company is not generating enough revenue to satisfy interest expenses while Ekocorp Plc has a high fixed interest cover.

III). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds =  $\underline{\text{Non-Current liabilities}} \times 100$ Equity

Ekocorp Plc	Fidson Healthcare Plc
<u>N1298611 + N1499792</u> x 100 = 89%	$ \mathbb{H}2793659 + \mathbb{H}10535885 \times 100 = 186\%$
<del>N</del> 315467	<del>N</del> 7153781

<u>Interpretation:</u> Ekocorp Plc's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable. It indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.

**SECTOR: Industrial** 

**COMPANIES: 1). Dangote Cement Plc** 

2).Lafarge Africa Plc

#### **Ratios:**

## A). Liquidity Ratios

I). Current Ratio = <u>Current Asset</u>

**Current Liabilities** 

Dangote Cement Plc	Latarge Africa Plc
<u>N441026</u> =1.5:1	N59629654 = 0.3:1
₩284759	<b>№</b> 173870677`

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Dangote Cement Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations than Lafarge Africa Plc because it has a higher, even though it's not an ideal current ratio.

II). Quick Asset = <u>Current Asset – Inventory</u>

Current Liabilities

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
$\underline{N441026} - \underline{N59280} = 1.3:1$	
<del>N</del> 284759	<del>N</del> 173870677

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Dangote Cement Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations by converting its liquid assets to cash than Lafarge Africa Plc because it has a higher even though it's not an ideal quick asset ratio.

# III).Receivables Collection Period = <u>Avg. Trade Receivables x 365 days</u> Credit Sales

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
Avg. Trade Receivables=	Avg. Trade Receivables =
<u>№11046 + №12340</u> = №11693	<u>№11167705</u> + <del>№</del> 15930970= <del>№</del> 13549338
2	2
Receivables Collection Period=	Receivables Collection Period=
N11693 x 365 days = 7 days	$ N13549338 \times 365 \text{ days} = 26 \text{ days} $
<del>N</del> 618301	<b>№</b> 187043475

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable receivables collection period because it has a shorter collection period than Lafarge Africa Plc.

# IV). Payables Payment Period = $\underline{\text{Avg. Trade Payables x 365 days}}$ Credit Purchases

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
Avg. Trade Payables=	Avg. Trade Payables=
	<u>N49921178 + N69930054</u> = N59925616
2	2
Payables Payment Period=	Payables Payment Period=
<u>₩117808</u> x 365 days=253days	<del>N</del> 59925616 x 365 days =178days
₩170288	<del>N</del> 123009569

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable payables collection period because it is longer than that of Lafarge Africa Plc.

## V). Inventory Turnover Period= Avg. Inventory x 365 days

#### Cost of Sales

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
Avg. Inventory=	Avg. Inventory=
N59280 + N62259 = N60770	$ \mathbb{N}28921467 + \mathbb{N}39057831} = \mathbb{N}33989649 $
2	2
Inventory Turnover Period=	Inventory Turnover Period=
N60770 x 365 days = 130 days	N33989649 x 365 days = 101 days
<del>№</del> 170288	<del>N</del> 123009569

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Lafarge Africa Plc has a more favorable inventory turnover period because it is shorter than that of Dangote Cement Plc.

VI). Receivables Turnover = 
$$\frac{\text{Credit Sales}}{\text{Avg. Receivables}}$$

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
<u>N618301</u> =53times	<u>₩187043475</u> =14times
¥11693	¥13549338

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable receivable turnover ratio because it is higher than that of Lafarge Africa Plc, which indicates that Dangote Cement Plc has a higher proportion of customers that pay their debts on time.

Dangote Cement Plc Lafarge Africa Plc

41

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Lafarge Africa Plc has a more favorable payables turnover ratio because it is higher than Dangote Cement Plc.

VIII).Inventory Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Cost of Sales}}$ 

Avg. Inventory

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
$ \underline{\mathbf{N}}$ 170288 = 3 times	<u>\mathbb{N}123009569</u> =4times
<del>N</del> 60770	<del>N</del> 33989649

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable inventory turnover ratio because it is shorter than Lafarge Africa Plc. A shorter ratio is more desirable.

## B). Efficiency Ratios:

I). Return on Capital Employed = <u>PBIT</u> x 100

Capital Employed

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
$\frac{\text{N39223}}{\text{N39223}} \times 100 = 3\%$	<u>₩7408583</u> x 100 =2%
₩1437215	N403821619

<u>Interpretation</u>: Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher than Lafarge Africa Plc. It also indicates that Dangote Cement Plc uses its capital more efficiently.

II).Gross Profit Margin = 
$$\frac{\text{Gross Profit}}{\text{Sales}} \times 100$$

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
N448013 x 100 = 72%	$ N64033906 \times 100 = 34\% $
₩618301	₩187043475

<u>Interpretation:</u> Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable gross profit margin because it is higher than Lafarge Africa Plc.

III).Net Profit Margin =  $\underline{\text{Net Profit}} \times 100$ 

Sales

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
$\underline{\text{N481456}} \times 100 = 78\%$	<u>\mathref{M}4141764\ \text{x} \ 100 = 2\%</u>
<del>N</del> 618301	₩187043475

<u>Interpretation:</u> Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable net profit margin because it is higher than Lafarge Africa Plc.

# IV).Expenses % = Individual Expenses x 100 Total Expense

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
Administrative Expenses=	Administrative Expenses=
\$M\$27108  x 100 = 23%	<u>₩23440838</u> x 100 =84%
<del>N</del> 116386	<del>N</del> 27795758
Selling and Distribution Expenses=	Selling and Distribution Expenses=
<u>N89278</u> x 100 = 78%	N3891305 x 100 = 14%
¥116386	<del>№</del> 27795758

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Dangote Cement Plc selling and distribution expenses is higher. For administrative expenses Lafarge Africa Plc Lafarge is higher. The lower is more favorable.

V). Expenses to Sales = <u>Individual Expenses</u> x 100

Sales

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
Administrative Expenses=	Administrative Expenses=
\$N\$27108\$ x 100 = 4%	$ N23440838 \times 100 = 13\% $
<del>N</del> 618301	<del>N</del> 187043475
	42

Selling and Distribution Expenses=  $\frac{189278 \times 100}{18301} \times 100 = 14\%$ Selling and Distribution Expenses=  $\frac{1889278 \times 100}{18301} \times 100 = 2\%$   $\frac{187043475}{187043475}$ 

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Lafarge Africa Plc 's selling and distribution expenses to sales is higher and for administrative expenses percentage to sales Dangote Cement Plc is higher. The lower is more favorable.

## \_C).Investor's Ratio:

I). Earnings per Share =  $\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$ No. of Ordinary Share

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
$\frac{N481456000 - 0}{N481456000 - 0} = \frac{N0.028}{\text{share}}$	$\underline{N4141764000 - 0} = \underline{N0.48}$ /shares
17040507406shares	8673428465shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: Lafarge Africa Plc has a more favorable EPS ratio because it is higher than Dangote Cement Plc's ratio.

II). Price Earnings Ratio =  $\underline{MPS}$  EPS

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
NO MPS	NO MPS
HI E ' W'11 EDG	

III). Earnings Yield =  $\underline{EPS}$  MPS

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
NO MPS	NO MPS

## IV). Net Asset per Share = $\underbrace{\text{Net Assets} - \text{Preference Capital}}_{\text{No. of Ordinary Share}}$

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
-	
N1293548000 - 0 = N0.077/share	N25574372000 - 0 = N38/share
17040507406shares	8673428465shares

<u>Interpretation:</u> Lafarge Africa Plc has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher than Dangote Cement Plc

V). Dividend per Share = <u>Gross Dividend</u>

No. of Ordinary Share

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
-	
N178900000 = N0.010/share	<u>N13010143000</u> =N1.5/share
17040507406shares	8673428465shares

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows the value of dividend per share of Lafarge Africa Plc and Dangote Cement Plc . Lafarge Africa Plc has a better DPS

VI). Dividend Pay-Out Ratio =  $\underline{DPS} \times 100$ 

**EPS** 

Dangote Cement Plc	1	Lafarge Africa Plc
_		-
$ N0.010 \times 100 = 36\% $		N1.5 x 100 = 313%
<b>№</b> 0 028		NO 48

<u>Interpretation</u>: Lafarge Africa Plc dividend payout ratio indicates that the company is paying out more dividends than its earnings while for Dangote Cement Plc it is more favorable.

VII). Dividend Yield =  $\underline{DPS} \times 100$ 

**MPS** 

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
NO MPS	NO MPS

## VIII). Dividend Cover = $\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$

#### Total Dividend

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
-	
$\underline{\text{N481456000} - 0} = 2.7 \text{times}$	$\underline{\text{N4}141764000} - 0 = 0.32 \text{ times}$
₩178900000	₩13010143000

<u>Interpretation:</u> The Dividend Cover for Lafarge Africa Plc considered not healthy while for Dangote Cement Plc it is considered healthy.

## D). Stability Ratios:

I). Gearing Ratio = 
$$\underline{\text{Non -Current Liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Preference Capital}} \times 100$$
Capital Employed

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
$ N143667 + 0 \times 100 = 10\% $	N148077894 + 0 x 100 = 37%
<b>№</b> 1437215	N403821619

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that both companies are not highly geared. They are not considered to be of low risk but Dangote Cement Plc's gearing ratio is lower which is more favorable.

## II). Fixed Interest Cover = $\underline{PBIT}$

Fixed Interest

Dangote Cement Plc	Lafarge Africa Plc
<u>№392223</u> =17times	
<del>N</del> 22565	N43037415

<u>Interpretation:</u> Dangote Cement Plc has a more favorable Fixed Interest Cover.

## III). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds = $\underline{\text{Non-Current liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Current Liabilities}} \times 100$ Equity

<u>Interpretation:</u> Dangote Cement Plc's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable. It indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.

**SECTOR: Oil & Gas** 

**COMPANIES: 1).Oando Plc** 

2).Conoil Plc

#### **Ratios:**

## A). Liquidity Ratios

I). Current Ratio = <u>Current Asset</u>

**Current Liabilities** 

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
<u>N164402215</u> =0.7:1	N54908451 = 1.3:1
₩227409609	<del>N</del> 41641699

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Conoil Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations than Oando Plc because it has a higher, even though it's not an ideal current ratio.

II). Quick Asset = Current Asset – Inventory

**Current Liabilities** 

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
N164402215 - N26514991 = 0.6:1	
<del>N</del> 227409609	N41641699

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Conoil Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations by converting its liquid assets to cash than Oando Plc because it has a higher even though it's not an ideal quick asset ratio

III).Receivables Collection Period = <u>Avg. Trade Receivables</u> x 365 days

Credit Sales

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
Avg. Trade Receivables =	Avg. Trade Receivables =
N135177498 + N1259580 = N68218539	$+ \frac{1}{2} \times $
2	2
Receivables Collection Period =	Receivables Collection Period=
N68218539 x 365 days = 51 days	\$M28080978\$ x 365 days = 84 days
N488518160	₩122213014

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Oando Plc has a more favorable receivables collection period because it has a shorter collection period than Conoil Plc.

IV). Payables Payment Period =  $\underline{\text{Avg. Trade Payables}}$  x 365 days Credit Purchases

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
Avg. Trade Payables=	Avg. Trade Payables=
<u>N184967900 + N117389268</u> =N151178584	$+ \frac{1}{2} \times $
2	2
Payables Payment Period=	Payables Payment Period=
$ \text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$}}}}}}} \text{ x 365 days} = 113 days} $	$ N35819552 \times 365 \text{ days} = 119 \text{ days} $
<del>N</del> 488938074	<del>N</del> 109442111

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Conoil Plc has a more favorable payables collection period because it is longer than that of Oando Plc.

V). Inventory Turnover Period= <u>Avg. Inventory</u> x 365 days

Cost of Sales

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
Avg. Inventory= ¥ 26514911	Avg. Inventory=
	<del>N</del> 9141599 + <del>N</del> 5661155 = <del>N</del> 7401377
	2
Inventory Turnover Period=	Inventory Turnover Period=
N26514911 x 365 days = 20 days	$ \mathbb{N}7401377} \times 365 \text{ days} = 25 \text{ days} $
N488938074	<del>№</del> 109442111

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Oando Plc has a more favorable inventory turnover period because it is shorter than that of Conoil Plc.

VI). Receivables Turnover = <u>Credit Sales</u>

Avg. Receivables

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
<u>N488518160</u> =7times	<u><del>N</del>122213014</u> =4times
<del>N</del> 68218539	₩28080978

<u>Interpretation</u>: This shows that Oando Plc has a more favorable receivable turnover ratio because it is higher than that of Conoil Plc, which indicates that Oando Plc has a higher proportion of customers that pay their debts on time.

VII). Payables Turnover =  $\frac{\text{Credit Purchases}}{\text{Avg. payables}}$ 

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
<u>N488938074</u> =32times	<u>₩109442111</u> =3times
₩15117858	₩35819552

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Oando Plc has a more favorable payables turnover ratio because it is higher than Conoil Plc.

VIII).Inventory Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Cost of Sales}}$ 

Avg. Inventory

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
N488938074=184times	<u>№109442111</u> =15times
<del>N</del> 2651491	<del>N</del> 7401377

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Conoil Plc has a more favorable inventory turnover ratio because it is shorter than Oando Plc. A shorter ratio is more desirable.

## B). Efficiency Ratios:

I). Return on Capital Employed =  $\underline{PBIT}$  x 100

Capital Employed

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
$ N17695310 \times 100 = 14\% $	$ \mathbf{N}2566765 x 100 = 13%$
₩130756235	₩19255547

<u>Interpretation</u>: Oando Plc has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher than Conoil Plc. It also indicates that Oando Plc uses its capital more efficiently.

II).Gross Profit Margin =  $\frac{\text{Gross Profit}}{\text{Sales}} \times 100$ 

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
$\underline{\text{N419914}} \times 100 = 0.1\%$	$ \mathbf{N}12770902 x 100 = 10%$
N488518160	₩122213014

<u>Interpretation:</u> Conoil Plc has a more favorable gross profit margin because it is higher than Oando Plc.

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
$ N18321877 \times 100 = 4\% $	$\frac{1}{2}$ 1796042 x 100 = 1%
N488518160	₩122213014

<u>Interpretations:</u> Oando Plc has a more favorable net profit margin because it is higher than F Conoil Plc.

# IV).Expenses % = Individual Expenses x 100 Total Expense

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
Only Administrative Expenses	Distribution Expenses=
	N2571260  x  100 = 29%
	<del>N</del> 8809784
	Administrative Expense=
	<del>N</del> 6238524 x 100 =71%
	<del>N</del> 8809784

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows that Conoil Plc Distribution expenses percentage is lower while its <u>administrative expenses</u> 's percentage is higher.

# V). Expenses to Sales = Individual Expenses x 100 Sales

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
Administrative Expense=	Administrative Expense=
<u>\$\text{\$\text{10939966}}\$ x 100 = 2\%</u>	\$M\$6238524  x  100 = 5%
<del>N</del> 488518160	<del>N</del> 122213014
	Distribution Expenses= <u>N2571260</u> x 100 = 2%
	<del>№</del> 122213014

<u>Interpretation:</u> This shows that Conoil Plc and Distribution expenses to sales and administrative expenses percentage to sales is low. Oando Plc 's administrative Expense percentage to sales is also low.

## C). Investor's Ratios:

I). Earnings per Share =  $\underline{PAT - Preference\ Dividend}$ No. of Ordinary Share

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
N18321877 - 0 = N1.5/share	N1796042000 - 0 = N2.59/share
12431412shares	693952117shares

<u>Interpretation</u>: Conoil Plc has a more favorable EPS ratio because it is higher than Oando Plc 's ratio.

II). Price Earnings Ratio =  $\underline{MPS}$ 

**EPS** 

Oando Plc Conoil Plc

NO MPS

III). Earnings Yield =  $\underline{EPS}$ 

**MPS** 

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
NO MPS	NO MPS

## IV). Net Asset per Share $\underline{= Net Assets - Preference Capital}$

No. of Ordinary Share

<u>Interpretation</u>: Oando Plc has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher than Conoil Plc's value.

V). Dividend per Share = Gross Dividend

No. of Ordinary Share

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
No Dividend	<u>N1387904000</u> =N2
	693952117shares

Interpretation: This shows the value of dividend per share of Conoil Plc

VI). Dividend Pay-Out Ratio =  $\underline{DPS} \times 100$ 

**EPS** 

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
No Dividend	$ \mathbf{N2} x 100 = 77%$
	<del>N</del> 2.59

<u>Interpretation</u>: Conoil Plc dividend payout ratio indicates that the company is not paying out more dividends than its earnings, since it is not above 100%.

VII). Dividend Yield = DPS x 100

#### **MPS**

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
NO MPS	NO MPS

VIII). Dividend Cover = <u>PAT – Preference Dividend</u>

**Total Dividend** 

Oando Plc Conoil Plc

N1796042000 − 0 =1.3times

N1387904000

<u>Interpretation</u>: The Dividend Cover for Conoil Plc is considered not healthy.

## D). Stability Ratios:

I). Gearing Ratio =  $\underline{\text{Non -Current Liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Preference Capital}} \times 100$ Capital Employed

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
N69856667 + 0 x 100 = 53%	
<b>№</b> 130756235	<del>N</del> 19255547

Interpretation: This shows that Oando Plc is highly geared, while Conoil Plc is not.

II). Fixed Interest Cover =  $\underline{PBIT}$ 

Fixed Interest

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
$\underline{\mathbf{N}}$ 17695310 =1times	$ \underline{N}2566765 = 2 \text{ times} $
<del>N</del> 17582406	<del>¥</del> 1508064

<u>Interpretation:</u> Oando Plc has a low Fixed Interest Cover, this shows that the company is not generating enough revenue to satisfy interest expenses while Conoil Plc has a higher fixed interest cover.

III). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds =  $\underline{\text{Non-Current liabilities}} \times 100$ Equity

Oando Plc	Conoil Plc
$\underline{\text{N69856667}} + \underline{\text{N227409609}} \times 100 = 488\%$	$\underline{\$\$954473} + \underline{\$\$41641699} \times 100 = 233\%$
<del>N</del> 60899568	₩18301074

<u>Interpretations:</u> Conoil Plc's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable. It indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.

**SECTOR: Technology & Telecommunication** 

**COMPANIES: 1). Chams.** 

2). NCR Plc.

#### **Ratios:**

## A). Liquidity Ratios

I). Current Ratio = <u>Current Asset</u> Current Liabilities

Chams	NCR Plc
	<u>N8696492</u> =1.3:1
<b>№</b> 1478750	<del>№</del> 6459424

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows that NCR Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations than Chams because it has a higher, even though it's not an ideal current ratio.

II). Quick Asset = <u>Current Asset – Inventory</u>

Current Liabilities

Chams	NCR Plc
$\frac{1007801 - 107648}{1000} = 0.4:1$	<u>₩8696492 – ₩1583496</u> =1.1:1
<del>N</del> 1478750	<del>N</del> 6459424

<u>Interpretations:</u> Interpretations: This shows that NCR Plc is more capable to meet its current liability obligations by converting its liquid assets to cash than Chams because it has a higher even though it's not an ideal quick asset ratio.

III).Receivables Collection Period = <u>Avg. Trade Receivables</u> x 365 days

Credit Sales

Chams	NCR Plc
Avg. Trade Receivables  N510446 + N760158 = N635302	Avg. Trade Receivables=
Receivables Collection Period	Receivables Collection Period
N635302 x 365 days = 397 days	N1845960 x 365 days = 102 days
<del>N</del> 584392	<del>N</del> 6621647

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows that Chams has a more favorable receivables collection period because it has a shorter collection period than NCR Plc.

# IV).Payables Payment Period = <u>Avg. Trade Payables</u> x 365 days Credit Purchases

Chams	NCR Plc
Avg. Trade Payables	Avg. Trade Payables=
<u>N1246204 + N2094768</u> = N1670486	$\underline{\mathbf{N}4862635} + \underline{\mathbf{N}2423567} = \underline{\mathbf{N}3643101}$
2	2
Payables Payment Period=	Payables Payment Period=
$$1670486$ x 365 days = 1761 days	<u>N3643101</u> x 365 days=231days
₩346230	₩5767871

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows that Chams has a more favorable payables collection period because it is longer than that of NCR Plc.

V). Inventory Turnover Period= Avg. Inventory x 365 days

#### **Credit Purchases**

Chams	NCR Plc
Avg. Inventory=	Avg. Inventory=
<u>N67648 + N86992</u> N77320	
2	2
Inventory Turnover Period=	Inventory Turnover Period=
<u>¥77320</u> x 365 days =82days	<u>₩1494155</u> x 365 days =95days
<del>N</del> 346230	<del>N</del> 5767871

Interpretation: This shows Chams has a more favorable inventory turnover period it has a shorter turnover period.

## VI). Receivables Turnover = $\underline{\text{Credit Sales}}$

Avg. Receivables

Chams	NCR Plc
<u>N584392</u> =0.9times	<del>N</del> 6621647 = 3.6times
<del>N</del> 635302	<del>N</del> 1845960

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows that NCR Plc has a more favorable receivable turnover ratio because it is higher than that of Chams, which indicates that NCR Plc has a higher proportion of customers that pay their debts on time.

.

## VII). Payables Turnover = Credit Purchases

Avg. payables

Chams	NCR Plc
N346230 = 0.2  times	<u>N5767871</u> = 1.6times
<del>№</del> 1670486	<del>N</del> 3643101

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows that NCR Plc has a more favorable payables turnover ratio because it is higher than Chams.

VIII).Inventory Turnover =  $\underline{\text{Cost of Sales}}$ 

Avg. Inventory

Chams	NCR Plc
<u>N346230</u> =4times	<u><del>N</del>5767871</u> =3.9times
₩77320	N 1494155

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows that Chams has a more favorable inventory turnover ratio because it has a shorter period.

## **B). Efficiency Ratios:**

I). Return on Capital Employed =  $\underline{PBIT}$  x 100

Capital Employed

<u>Chams</u> NCR Plc

<u>Interpretations:</u> Chams has a more favorable return on capital employed ratio because it is higher. It also indicates that Chams uses its capital more efficiently.

II).Gross Profit Margin =  $\underline{\text{Gross Profit}}$  x 100

Sales

Chams	NCR Plc
N238162 x 100 = 41%	<u>₩853776</u> x 100=13%
₩584392	<del>6621647</del>

<u>Interpretations</u>: Chams has a more favorable gross profit margin because it is higher.

III).Net Profit Margin = Net Profit x 100

Sales

Chams	NCR Plc
<u>N385796</u> x 100 =66%	\$N\$25907\$ x 100 = 0.3%
₩584392	₩6621647

<u>Interpretations:</u> Chams has a more favorable net profit margin because it is higher.

## C). Investor's Ratios:

I). Earnings per Share = PAT - Preference Dividend

No. of Ordinary Share

Interpretations: Conoil Plc has a more favorable EPS ratio because it is higher than Oando Plc 's ratio.

IV). Net Asset per Share =  $\underbrace{\text{Net Assets} - \text{Preference Capital}}_{\text{No. of Ordinary Share}}$ 

Chams NCR Plc 59

+3727899 - 0 = +0.8/share

 $\frac{\text{N4}57577}{\text{0}} = \frac{\text{N8}.5}{\text{share}}$ 54000000shares

4696060000shares

<u>Interpretations</u>: NCR Plc has a more favorable Net Asset per share value because it is higher.

V). Dividend per Share = Gross Dividend

No. of Ordinary Share

 $\frac{\text{Chams}}{\text{NCR Plc}}$   $\frac{\text{NCR Plc}}{\text{NO dividend}}$ NO dividend

4696060000shares

<u>Interpretations:</u> This shows the value of dividend per share of Chams.

VIII). Dividend Cover = <u>PAT – Preference Dividend</u>

Total Dividend

 Chams
 NCR Plc

 №385796 = 18times
 NO dividend

Interpretations: The Dividend Cover for Chams is considered healthy.

## D). Stability Ratios:

<del>N</del>21464

I). Gearing Ratio =  $\underline{\text{Non -Current Liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Preference Capital}} \times 100$ Capital Employed

Chams	NCR Plc
No NCI	N2842590 x 100 = 43%
	N6621647

Interpretations: This shows that NCR Plc is not highly geared

II). Fixed Interest Cover =  $\underline{PBIT}$ 

## Fixed Interest

Chams	NCR Plc
No Fixed Interest	No Fixed Interest

III). Total Debt to Shareholders Funds =  $\underline{\text{Non-Current liabilities}} + \underline{\text{Current Liabilities}} \times 100$ Equity

Chams	NCR Plc
<u>№1478060</u> x 100 =40%	<u>₩2842590 + ₩1583496</u> = 968%
₩3727899	<del>N</del> 457577

Interpretations: Chams's debt to Shareholders Funds is lower which is more favorable. It indicates that a lower amount of financing is from debt.