

PTE 518 (Oil Pollution and Control) Lecture Note 1 INTRODUCTION

Industrial wastes generated from oil and gas operations are of major concern to both operators and oil and gas industry regulators. This course is focused on discussing the various sources of industrial wastes and how industrial wastes can be managed.

The process of drilling oil and gas wells generates two primary types of wastes used drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Drilling fluids (also known as muds) are used to aid the drilling process; the fluid phase can be water, synthetic or natural oils, air, gas, or a mixture of these components. Muds are circulated through the drill bit to lubricate and cool the bit, control the formation fluid pressures and to aid in carrying the drill cuttings to the surface, where the muds and cuttings are separated by mechanical means. Muds consist of a base fluid and various solid and liquid additives to allow for good drilling performance. Some of the additives introduce potentially toxic compounds into the fluids, which must be considered when the resulting wastes are managed. The main pollution of spent muds are caused by: biocides, oil, completion or stimulation fluid components, corrosion inhibitors, reservoir fluids (crude oil, brine), and drilling mud chemical components. Drilling wastes are the second largest volume of waste, behind produced water, generated by the E&P industry. API estimated that in 1995 about 150 million barrels of drilling waste was generated from onshore wells in the United States alone. Operators have employed a variety of methods for managing these drilling wastes depending on what federal regulations allow and how costly those options are for

the well in question. Onshore operations have a wider range of management options than offshore operations. These include land application, underground injection, thermal treatment, and biological remediation.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Many of the wastes associated with oil and gas well drilling activities have the potential to impact the environment. The physical and chemical properties of the drilling wastes influence its hazardous characteristics and environmental impact ability. The most common measure of the potential environmental impact of a material is its toxicity.

Table I gives guidance for possible environmentally significant constituents of drilling wastes. The potential impact depends primarily on the material, its concentration after release and the biotic community that is exposed. This also depends on the length of exposure to a substance. The length of exposure to a substance can be divided into descriptive types as indicated in Table II. Exposure that causes an immediate effect is called acute, while repeated long-term exposure is called chronic. Most concentrations encountered during drilling activities are relatively low, therefore the environmental impact is generally observed only after chronic exposure

TABLE I: WASTES COMPONENTS AND ENVIRONMENTALLYSIGNIFICANT CONSTITUENTS FROM DRILLING ACTIVITIES

Type of Waste	Main components	Possible	
		environmentally	
		significant constituents	
Waste lubricants	Lube oil, grease	Heavy metals, organics	

Spacers	Mineral oil,	Hydrocarbon, alcohol,	
	detergents,	aromatics	
	surfactants		
Spent/contaminated	Whole mud, mineral oil,	Heavy metals, inorganic	
water based muds	biodegradable	salts, biocides,	
(include brine)	matters	hydrocarbons,	
		solids/cutting, BOD,	
		organics	
Water based muds	Formation solids,	Heavy metals, inorganic	
cutting	water based muds	salts, biocides,	
	mineral oil	hydrocarbons,	
		solid/cutting	
Spent/contaminated oil	Whole mud mineral	Hydrocarbons, heavy	
based muds	oil	metals, inorganic salts,	
		solids, BOD, organics,	
		surfactants	
Oil based muds	Formation solids, oil	Heavy metals, inorganic	
cuttings	based muds	salts, hydrocarbons,	
		solid/cutting	
Spent bulk chemical	Cement, bentonite,	Heavy metals,	
	barites, thinners, fluid	hydrocarbon, organics,	
	loss reducers, speciality	solids	
	product		
Spent special products	H2S scavengers,	Zinc carbonates, iron	
	defoamers, tracers	oxides, hydrocarbons,	
		silicon oils, potassium	

	salts, radioactive material

TABLE II: EXPOSURE TYPE

Exposure type	Duration of Exposure
Acute	Less than 24 hours
Sub-acute	Less than 1 month
Sub-chronic	1-3 months
Chronic	More than 3 months

DROP CALCULATING GRAPH

Accidents inevitably accompany offshore development. They are the sources of environmental pollution at all stages of oil and gas production. The causes, scale, and severity of the accidents' consequences are extremely variable. Oil well accident in Kazakhstan as a result of drop object, killed many and left several injured.



Figure 1: Drops Calculation Graph



Figure 2: Illustration of the application of drops calculation

REGULATION REQUIREMENTS

Any waste materials which have the ability to cause cancer, and/or its toxicity to humans and other ecosystems are specifically regulated by a governmental authority. In the absence of governmental regulations, guidelines issued by relevant international or regional organizations are usually used. Because of this, the discharge of spent drilling mud and their associated cuttings is prohibited in many areas around the world.

In many instance, the oil companies operating in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria are required to adopt good oil-field disposal practices as prescribed and approved by the Directorate of Petroleum Resources (DPR), the regulator of the Nigerian petroleum industry. In line with this therefore, the DPR have emphasized the implementation of the following guidelines and standards by the oil operators, as outlined in Table III.

TABLE III: REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGE OF DRILLING MUDAND CUTTINGS IN NIGERIA

Water Based	Oil Based Drilling	Synthetic Based	Environmental
Drilling Fluids	Fluid Cuttings	Drilling	Monitoring
and Cuttings		Fluid Cuttings	Requirements
To discharge,	• To discharge, must	• SBM must be	• Operator to carry
must submit	submit proof that OBM	recovered,	out first
proof that mud	has low	reconditioned,	Postdrilling
has low	toxicity to DPR with	and recycled.	seabed survey
toxicity to	permit application.	• SBM cuttings	after 9 months or
Director of	Discharges will	must	after 5 wells
Petroleum	be treated to DPR's	contain 5%	have been drilled,
Resources	satisfaction.	drilling fluid	whichever
(DPR)	• OBM must be	or less for	is shorter.
with permit	recovered,	discharge. (10%	Subsequent seabed
application.	reconditioned, and	for esters)	surveys shall then
Discharges will	recycled.	• Special	be carried
be treated to	• Oil on cuttings, 1%	provision for	out after a further
DPR's	with 0% goal.	higher retention	18 months
satisfaction.	• On-site disposal if oil	limits	or 10 wells.
• DPR will	content does not cause	have been	
examine WBM	sheen on	granted for	
to	the receiving water.	some deepwater	

determine how	• Cuttings samples shall	wells	
hazardous and	be analyzed by Operator		
toxic it is.	as		
• Cuttings	specified by DPR once		
contaminated	a day.		
with	• Point of discharge as		
WBM may be	designated on the		
discharged	installation by		
offshore/deep	shunting to the bottom.		
water without	• DPR to analyse		
treatment.	samples at its own		
	discretion for		
	toxic/hazardous		
	substances.		
	• Operator to carry out		
	first post drilling seabed		
	survey 9		
	months after 5 wells		
	have been drilled.		
	Subsequent seabed		
	surveys shall		
	then be carried out after		
	afurther 18 months or		
	further 10		
	wells		
	• Operator must submit		

to DPR details of
sampling and
analysis records within
2 weeks of completion
of any
well.
• Inspection of
operations shall be
allowed at all
reasonable times

Waste Minimization

One important method for minimizing the amount of potentially toxic wastes generated is to use less toxic materials for the various operation processes. In the 1990s, drilling fluid companies devised new types of fluid that used non-aqueous fluids as their base. The base fluids included internal olefins, esters, linear alphaolefins and linear parafins. These Synthetic-based muds (SBMs) share the desirable drilling fluid properties of Oil-based muds (OBMs) but are free of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons and have lower toxicity, faster biodegradability and lower bioaccumulation potential. Use of SBMs results in a cleaner hole with less sloughing and they generate a smaller cutting volume and can be recycled where possible. A variety of new water-based muds (WBMs) are being developed as possible substitutes for OBMs. The additives for these muds have included various low-toxicity polymers and glycols [10]. Many of the additives used in the past for drilling fluids have contained potential contaminants of concern such as chromium in lignosulfonates. Also, barite weighting agents may contain concentrations of heavy metals such as cadmium or mercury. The use of such additives has

diminished. However, an operator should take care to select additives that are less toxic and that will, therefore, result in a less toxic drilling waste.

Waste Minimization via Process Modifications

1. Slim Holes

The drilling industry has improved the technology of "slim hole" drilling over the past few years. Slim hole drilling should be considered when planning a drilling project. If feasible and used, slim hole drilling reduces the volume of waste drilling fluid and the volume of drill cuttings. The total cost of a slim hole drilling operation may be considerably less than for conventional whole sizes due to the reduced fluid system and waste management costs. Also, smaller casing is required, which may help reduce the total cost of the operation.

2. Solids Control

An effective way to reduce the volume of drilling fluid waste is the use of solids control. The efficient use of solids control equipment (e.g., hydrocyclones and centrifuges) in combination with chemical flocculants minimizes the need for makeup water to dilute the fluid system. An enhanced solids control system designed to compliment a specific drilling operation is a very effective waste minimization technique.

3. Mud System Monitoring

Diligent and comprehensive monitoring of drilling fluid properties is effective in reducing the frequency of water and additive additions to the system. Monitoring the system allow the operator to immediately identify unwanted changes in the drilling fluid system and make the necessary corrections. This technique, in addition to the solids control for the drilling fluid system can significantly reduce the costs of the drilling fluid system and the volume of drilling waste remaining at the end of the drilling operation.

4. Material Re-use or Recycle

Many of the materials in the drilling waste stream can be used more than once or be converted into a usable material. For example, reconditioned drilling mud could be reused for other wells, either by the operating company or by the vendor. Waste mud from one well can be used for plugging or spudding other wells. Used drilling mud can also be used to make cement [15]. Used OBMs and SBMs can be recycled where possible. Recycling avoid release of large quantities of wastes into the environment.

Treatment and Disposal

Treatment is used to reduce the volume and/or toxicity of wastes and put them in a suitable position for final disposal. Treatment and disposal options depend largely on the waste characteristics and regulatory requirements. There are various practices to get rid of drilling wastes in the oil and gas industry today. They are: onsite burial, land farming, thermal treatment, slurry injection and bioremediation.

1) Onsite Burial

Burial is the placement of waste in man-made or natural excavations, such as landfills. Burial is the most common onshore disposal technique used for disposing of drilling wastes (mud and cuttings). Generally, the solids are buried in the same pit (the reserve pit) used for collection and temporary storage of waste mud and cuttings after the liquid is allowed to evaporate. Pit burial is a low-tech method that does not require wastes to be transported away from the well site, and, therefore, is very attractive to many operators. Burial may be the most misunderstood or misapplied disposal technique. Onsite pit burial may not be a good choice for waste that contain high concentrations of oil, salt, biologically available metals, industrial chemicals, and other materials with harmful components that could migrate from the pit and contaminate usable water resources. In some oil field areas, large landfills are operated to dispose of oil field waste from multiple wells. Secure landfills are specially designed land structures which employ protective measures against off-site migration of contained chemical waste via leaching or vaporisation (Fig. 1). Burial usually results in anaerobic conditions, which limits any further degradation when compared with waste that are land-farmed or landspread; where aerobic conditions predominate.



Figure 3: Secure landfill with synthetic liner

2) Land farming

Land farming involves spreading the waste on a designated area of land and working it into the soil. The objective of applying drilling wastes to the land is to allow the soil's naturally occurring microbial population to metabolize,

transform, and assimilation waste constituents in place. It may be safely utilised as a means of immobilising and biodegrading many oilfield wastes. Soil loading capacity must be known and should not be exceeded in order to maintain aerobic condition at site.

3) Incineration

Incineration is one of the best thermal treatment disposal options because thermally treated wastes are decomposed to none or less hazardous by-products. Controlled incinerators operate at sufficient temperatures for complete thermal decomposition of hazardous wastes. In addition, solid and gas emissions are controlled by afterburners, scrubbers, and/or electrostatic precipitators. Non-hazardous and hazardous solids, liquids, and gases can be incinerated. However, incineration of heavy metals such as lead, mercury or cadmium is not recommended because these metals remain in the fly ash and present a leaching hazard when placed in a landfill. The advantages of incineration are numerous, including volume reduction, complete destruction rather than isolation, and possible resource recovery.

4) Thermal desorption

Thermal desorption process applies heat directly or indirectly to the wastes, to vaporize volatile and semi volatile components without incinerating the soil. In some thermal desorption technologies, the off-gases are combusted, and in others, such as in thermal phase separation, the gases are condensed and separated to recover heavier hydrocarbons. Thermal desorption technologies include indirect rotary kilns, hot oil processors, thermal phase separation, thermal distillation, thermal plasma volatilization, and modular thermal processors. Various thermal processes have been patented.

5) Deep-well Injection

This is a waste disposal technique where drill cuttings and other oilfield wastes are mixed into slurry. The resulting slurry is then injected into a dedicated disposal well where it is contained in the pores of permeable subsurface rocks far below freshwater aquifers. See Fig. 2. The primary disadvantage of this option is the possibility of freshwater contamination due to casing failure. Availability of the disposal option is also limited to certain geological setting. It is environmentally preferred when rock formations allow.



Figure 4: Deep-well Injection of drilling waste

6) Vermiculture

Vermiculture is the process of using worms to decompose organic waste into a material capable of supplying necessary nutrients to help sustain plant growth. For several years, worms have been used to convert organic waste into organic fertilizer. Recently, the process has been tested and found successful in treating certain synthetic-based drilling waste. Researchers in New Zealand have conducted experiments to demonstrate that worms can facilitate the rapid degradation of hydrocarbon-based drilling fluids and subsequently process the minerals in the drill cuttings. Because worm cast (manure) has important fertilizer properties, the process may provide an alternative drill cutting disposal method.