PCS 410 Negotiation

What is Negotiation & Approaches to Negotiation

Overview

- What is negotiation?
- Approaches to negotiation
- Planning for Negotiation
- Power in Negotiation
- Obstacles to negotiation
- Negotiation strategies and tactics
- Stages of negotiation

- negotiation is a discussion between two or more disputants who are trying to work out a solution to their problem. (CRC)
- It is an interpersonal or inter-group process that occurs at a personal level, corporate or international (diplomatic) level.
- It typically takes place because the parties wish to
 resolve a problem or dispute between them or
 create something new that neither could do on his or
 her own.

- Parties acknowledge that there is some conflict of
 interest between them and think they can use some
 form of influence to get a better deal, rather than simply
 taking what the other side will voluntarily give them.
- Parties prefer to **search for agreement** rather than fight openly, give in, or break off contact.

- Parties usually expect give and take.
- Parties have interlocking goals that they cannot accomplish independently, their want or need are usually not same.
- This interdependence can be either win-lose or winwin in nature
- Type of negotiation that is appropriate varies accordingly.

- Parties will either attempt to:
 - force the other side to comply with their demands,
 - modify the opposing position and move toward compromise, or
 - invent a solution that meets the objectives of all sides.
- The nature of their interdependence will have a major impact on:
 - the nature of their relationship,
 - the way negotiations are conducted, and
 - the outcomes of these negotiations.

- Mutual adjustment is one of the key causes of the changes that occur during a negotiation.
- Parties know that they can influence the other's outcomes and vice versa.
- The key is to understand how people will adjust and readjust their positions during negotiations, based on what the other party does and is expected to do.
- Parties have to exchange information and make an effort to influence each other.

- As negotiations evolve, each side proposes changes to the other party's position and makes changes to its own.
- This process of give-and-take and making concessions is necessary to reach a settlement.
- If one party makes several proposals that are rejected, and the other party makes no alternate proposal, the first party may break off negotiation.

- Parties normally will not concede too much if they do not sense that the other party is not willing to compromise.
- Parties work toward a solution that takes into account each person's requirements and hopefully optimizes the outcomes for both.
- As they try to reach agreement, the parties focus on interests, issues, and positions, and use cooperative and/or competitive processes.

- Negotiation theorists make several overlapping distinctions about approaches to negotiation.
 - positional bargaining, which is competitive,
 - interest-based bargaining or principled negotiation, which is primarily cooperative.
 - soft, hard, and principled negotiation
 - Principled negotiation is neither soft, nor hard, but based on cooperative principles which look out for oneself as well as one's opponent.
 - Fisher, Ury, and Patton (1991)

- Competitive and cooperative approaches (Morton Deutsch, 2000).
- nature of the dispute and the goals each side seeks to achieve are the most important factors determining whether an individual will approach a conflict cooperatively or competitively.
- When the two sides' goals are interdependent. The parties' interaction are shaped by whether this interdependence is positive or negative

Positive vs. negative interdependence

- positive interdependence: the chance of one side attaining its goal is increased by the other side's attaining its goal.
- +vely interdependent goals normally result in cooperative approaches to negotiation; win-win
- negative interdependence means the chance of one side attaining its goal is decreased by the other's success.
- negatively interdependent goals may force competitive approach, leading to a win-lose

- Fisher, Ury, and Patton argue that almost any dispute can be resolved with interest-based bargaining (i.e., a cooperative approach).
- other theorists believe the two approaches should be used together.
- Lax and Sebenius, for example, argue that negotiations typically involve "creating" and "claiming" value.
- First, the negotiators work cooperatively to create value (that is, "enlarge the pie,") but then they must use competitive processes to claim value (that is, "divide up the pie").

- A tension exists between creating and claiming value.
- Competitive strategies used to claim value tend to undermine cooperation, while a cooperative approach makes one vulnerable to competitive bargaining tactics.
- In real life, parties can communicate and commit themselves to a cooperative approach.
- They can also adopt norms of fair and cooperative behavior and focus on their future relationship. This fosters a cooperative approach between both parties and helps them to find joint gains.

- The tension that exists between cooperation and competition in negotiation is known as "The Negotiator's Dilemma:"
 - If both sides cooperate, they will both have good outcomes.
 - If one cooperates and the other competes, the cooperator will get a terrible outcome and the competitor will get a great outcome.
 - If both compete, they will both have mediocre outcomes.
 - In the face of uncertainty about what strategy the other side will adopt, each side's best choice is to compete.
 - However, if they both compete, both sides end up worse off.[17]

References

Maiese, Michelle. "Negotiation." *Beyond Intractability*. Eds.
 Guy Burgess and Heidi Burgess. Conflict Information
 Consortium, University of Colorado, Boulder. Posted:
 October 2003

<<u>http://www.beyondintractability.org/essay/negotiation</u>>.